Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION

FTUAM-13-9
IFT-UAM/CSIC-13-039
UAB-FT-734

arXiv:1304.5529v2 [hep-th] 30 Apr 2013

Holographic Gravitational Anomaly in First and Second Order Hydrodynamics

Eugenio Megías[a], **Francisco Pena-Benitez**[b,c]

[a] *Grup de Física Teòrica and IFAE, Departament de Física,*
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra E-08193 Barcelona, Spain
E-mail: emegias@ifae.es
[b] *Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, C/ Nicolás Cabrera 13-15,*
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco E-28049 Madrid, Spain
[c] *Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco*
E-28049 Madrid, Spain
E-mail: fran.penna@uam.es

Abstract: We compute, in the framework of the fluid/gravity correspondence, the transport coefficients of a relativistic fluid affected by chiral and gauge-gravitational anomalies, including external electromagnetic fields. The computation is performed at first and second order in the hydrodynamical expansion. We use a 5-dim holographic model with pure gauge and mixed gauge-gravitational Chern-Simons terms in the action. We reproduce at first order previous results on the anomaly induced current of a magnetic field and a vortex in a relativistic fluid, and compute at second order the anomalous and non anomalous transport coefficients by using a Weyl covariant formalism. We find a dissipative and anomalous correction to the chiral magnetic conductivity due to the time dependence of the magnetic field. We also find a new contribution from the mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly to the shear waves dispersion relation. The role played by the chiral and gravitational anomalies in other transport coefficients is discussed.

Keywords: Gauge-gravity correspondence, Fluid-Gravity correspondence, Anomalies, Chern-Simons terms, Transport theory, Relativistic hydrodynamics, Quark Gluon Plasma.

Contents

1. Introduction **2**

2. Holographic Model **4**

3. One point functions and Ward identities **5**
- 3.1 The holographic dictionary with higher derivatives 6
- 3.2 Covariant form of the current and energy-momentum tensor 7

4. Constitutive relations, derivative expansion and Weyl covariance **7**

5. Fluid/Gravity Computation **12**
- 5.1 Weyl covariant ansatz 13
- 5.2 Einstein-Maxwell equations of motion and Ward identities 14

6. First Order Transport Coefficients **16**
- 6.1 Scalar sector 16
- 6.2 Vector and tensor sector 17

7. Second Order Transport Coefficients **18**
- 7.1 Vector sector 18
- 7.2 Tensor sector 19
- 7.3 Discussion of second order results 20

8. Discussion and conclusions **22**

A. First order solutions **24**

B. Second Order Sources **26**
- B.1 Second Order Vector Sources 26
  - B.1.1 Non-anomalous vector sources 26
  - B.1.2 Anomalous vector sources 28
- B.2 Second Order Tensorial Sources 29
  - B.2.1 Non-anomalous tensorial sources 30
  - B.2.2 Anomalous tensorial sources 31

C. Transport coefficients at second order **31**
- C.1 Vector sector 31
- C.2 Tensor sector 35

1. Introduction

Standard thermodynamics assumes thermodynamical equilibrium, implying that the intensive parameters (pressure, temperature and chemical potential) are constant along the volume of the system. Furthermore it is always possible to find a frame in which the total momentum of the system vanishes. In order to study systems in more interesting regimes one can allow the thermodynamical parameters to vary in space and time taking the system out of equilibrium. However, we assume local thermodynamical equilibrium which means that the variables vary slowly in space and time. This approximation, also called hydrodynamical approach, makes sense when the mean free path of the particles is much shorter than the characteristic size or length of the system $l_{mfp} \ll L$ [1].

The modern understanding of hydrodynamics is based on the effective field theory formalism. The hydrodynamical systems should obey the (anomalous) conservation laws of the spin one currents and the energy-momentum tensor, which are supplemented by expressions of the current and the energy-momentum tensor in terms of the quantities in the fluid, the so-called constitutive relations. These relations can be written as

$$\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle = (\epsilon + p)\, u^\mu u^\nu + p g^{\mu\nu} + \langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{diss \& anom}}\,, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\langle J^\mu \rangle = n u^\mu + \langle J^\mu \rangle_{\text{diss \& anom}}\;. \tag{1.2}$$

Here ϵ is the energy density, p the pressure, n the charge density and u^μ the local fluid velocity. In addition to the equilibrium contributions, there are extra terms in the constitutive relations which lead to dissipative and anomalous effects. These terms are usually computed in the long wavelength approximation, so that they are organized in a derivative expansion, also called hydrodynamical expansion. Some examples of dissipative coefficients are the shear viscosity η, bulk viscosity ζ and electric conductivity σ (see e.g. [1–3] and references therein).

During the past few years a new set of transport coefficients has been discovered as a consequence of chiral anomalies. The axial anomaly of QED is responsible for two particularly interesting effects of strong magnetic fields in dense strongly interacting matter. At large quark chemical potential μ, chirally restored quark matter gives rise to an axial current parallel to the magnetic field [4–6]

$$\mathbf{J}_5 = \frac{eN_c}{2\pi^2}\mu\,\mathbf{B}\,, \tag{1.3}$$

which may indeed lead to observable effects in strongly magnetized neutron stars and heavy ion collisions [7, 8]. This phenomena is known as *chiral separation effect* (CSE).

In the context of heavy ion collisions it was argued in [9, 10] that the excitation of topologically non-trivial gluon field configurations in the early non-equilibrium stages of a heavy ion collision might lead to an imbalance in the number of left- and right-handed quarks. This situation can be modelled by an axial chemical potential. [1] During the collision one expects the generation of magnetic fields that momentarily exceed even those found in magnetars. It has been proposed by Kharzeev et al. [9–13] that the analogous effect, so-called *chiral magnetic effect* (CME) [14]

---

[1] As soon as thermal equilibrium is reached, this imbalance is frozen and it is modelled by a chiral chemical potential, at least as long as the electric field is zero.

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{e^2 N_c}{2\pi^2}\mu_5\,\mathbf{B}\,, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathbf{J}$ is the electromagnetic current and μ_5 the axial chemical potential, could render observable event-by-event P and CP violations. Indeed, there is recent experimental evidence for the CME in the form of charge separation in heavy ion collisions with respect to the reaction plane [15, 16], and more recently from LHC data [17] (see however [18, 19]). For lattice studies of this effect, see for example [20, 21]. In the context of holography the CME was under an intense discussion to confirm its presence at strong coupling [22–26].

The fluid/gravity correspondence [27] is a very powerful tool to understand the hydrodynamic regime of quantum field theories with holographic dual. This technique has contributed to the understanding of the positivity of the entropy production using techniques of black hole thermodynamics [28–30]. It is also very useful for the computation of transport coefficients. The application of the fluid/gravity correspondence to theories including chiral anomalies [31, 32] lead to another surprise: it was found that not only a magnetic field induces a current but that also a vortex in the fluid leads to an induced current, the latter is called *chiral vortical effect* (CVE). [2] Again it is a consequence of the presence of chiral anomalies. It was later realized that the chiral magnetic and vortical conductivities are almost completely fixed in the hydrodynamic framework by demanding the existence of an entropy current with positive definite divergence [34]. That this criterion did not fix completely the anomalous transport coefficients was noted in [35], and various terms depending on the temperature instead of the chemical potentials were shown to be allowed as undetermined integration constants. The contributions from pure gauge anomalies is fixed uniquely by this method and provides therefore a non-renormalization theorem (see however [36] for a discussion on radiative corrections to the CSE).

Using a Kubo formula for the chiral vortical conductivity in a system of fermions at the weakly coupled regime, a purely temperature dependent contribution was found. This contribution was consistent with the integration constants found in [35] and it was shown to arise if and only if the system of chiral fermions features a mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly [37]. The gravitational anomaly contribution to the chiral vortical effect was also established in a strongly coupled AdS/CFT approach and precisely the same result as at weak coupling was found [38]. Some evidence of this effect has been found recently also from lattice studies [39].

Some very recent attempts to establish a non-renormalization theorem for anomalous conductivities lead to the fact that the chiral vortical conductivity indeed renormalizes due to gluon fluctuations [40, 41]. On the other hand it has been studied in [42, 43] the ultraviolet cutoff dependence of the anomalous transport coefficients and their holographic flow.

---

[2] A generalization of the model of [31,32] to a Maxwell-Gauss-Bonnet gravity has been done in [33], where some corrections of the transport coefficients induced by the Gauss-Bonnet coupling have been computed.

In [44] the authors claim that the gravitational anomaly produces a Casimir momentum in the cone formed by the space-time with imaginary time, which breaks the derivative counting and it is the responsible that first order transport coefficients being fixed by the mixed gravitational anomaly. The gravitational anomaly contribution was confirmed also in a fluid/gravity context [30], in a weakly coupled gas of Weyl fermions in arbitrary dimensions [45], and it was found in [46] that the anomalous conductivities can be obtained directly from the anomaly polynomial substituting the field strength with the chemical potential and the first Pontryagin density by the negative of the temperature squared. Recently the anomalous conductivities have also been obtained in effective action approaches [47–55] and using group theory techniques [56].

Stability and causality issues of the hydrodynamic equations demand the knowledge of second order hydrodynamics [57–59]. A classification of the terms contributing to this order was presented in [60]. In this work we compute within the fluid/gravity correspondence the transport coefficients at first and second order in the hydrodynamical expansion, using an holographic model which includes both gauge and mixed gauge-gravitational anomalies and external electromagnetic fields.

The manuscript is organized as follow. In section 2 we define our holographic model and present the renormalized action and the equations of motion. In section 3 we perform a formal derivation of the one point functions for a general Lagrangian, either in consistent and in covariant form. We review in section 4 the first and second order hydrodynamical Weyl covariant formalism, and present our main results.

In section 5 we explain the method to compute the transport coefficients within the fluid/gravity formalism. We present our result of the transport coefficients to first and second order in sections 6 and 7 respectively. Finally we conclude with a discussion of our results and an outlook towards possible future directions in section 8. The full expressions for the sources and transport coefficients at second order are collected in the appendices.

2. Holographic Model

The model we will use here was presented in [38]. We will fix first our conventions. We choose the five dimensional metric to be of signature $(-,+,+,+,+)$. The epsilon tensor has to be distinguished from the epsilon symbol, the latter being defined by $\epsilon(rtxyz) = +1$ whereas the former is defined by $\epsilon_{ABCDE} = \sqrt{-g}\,\epsilon(ABCDE)$. Five dimensional indices are denoted with upper case latin letters. We define an outward pointing normal vector $n_A \propto g^{AB}\frac{\partial r}{\partial x^B}$ to the holographic boundary of an asymptotically AdS space with unit norm

$n_A n^A = 1$. The action is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\left[R + 12 - \frac{1}{4}F_{MN}F^{MN}\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\epsilon^{MNPQR}A_M\left(\frac{\kappa}{3}F_{NP}F_{QR} + \lambda R^A{}_{BNP}R^B{}_{AQR}\right)\right] + S_{GH} + S_{CSK}\,, && (2.1)\\
S_{GH} &= \frac{1}{8\pi G}\int_{\partial_\epsilon} d^4x\sqrt{-h}\,K\,, && (2.2)\\
S_{CSK} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi G}\int_{\partial_\epsilon} d^4x\sqrt{-h}\,\lambda n_M\epsilon^{MNPQR}A_N K_{PL}D_Q K^L_R\,, && (2.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

where S_{GH} is the usual Gibbons-Hawking boundary term and D_A is the induced covariant derivative on the four dimensional cut-off surface. The second boundary term S_{CSK} was motivated in [38] (see also [43]). Notice that the action is diffeomorphism invariant, the Chern Simons terms are well formed volume forms and as such they are diffeomorphism invariant. They do depend however explicitly on the gauge connection A_M. Under gauge transformations $\delta A_M = \nabla_M\xi$ they are therefore invariant only up to a boundary term. This model needs a counterterm in order to make the on-shell boundary action well defined [3]

$$
S_{ct} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int_{\partial_\epsilon} d^4x\sqrt{-h}\left[6 + 3P - \left(P^\mu_\nu P^\nu_\mu - P^2 - \frac{1}{4}\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}\hat{F}^{\mu\nu}\right)\log\epsilon\right], \qquad (2.4)
$$

where

$$
P = \frac{\hat{R}}{6}\,, \qquad P^\mu_\nu = \frac{1}{2}\left[\hat{R}^\mu_\nu - P\delta^\mu_\nu\right]. \qquad (2.5)
$$

Quantities with hat ($\hat{F}, \hat{R}, \dots$) refer to their induced four dimensional objects at the cut-off surface, which is located at the radius $r \sim 1/\epsilon$. So taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, one takes the surface to the AdS boundary.

The bulk equations of motion $E_{MN} = 0$ and $M^D = 0$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{MN} + \left(\frac{1}{8}F^2 - 6\right)g_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}F_{ML}F_N{}^L - 2\lambda\epsilon_{LPQR(M}\nabla_B\left(F^{PL}R^B{}_{N)}{}^{QR}\right) &= 0\,, && (2.6)\\
\nabla_N F^{ND} + \epsilon^{DNPQR}\left(\kappa F_{NP}F_{QR} + \lambda R^A{}_{BNP}R^B{}_{AQR}\right) &= 0\,, && (2.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

and they are gauge and diffeomorphism covariant.

3. One point functions and Ward identities

After an ADM decomposition it is possible realize that the action (2.1) is third order in r derivatives (see Ref. [38]), so in order to get the correct one point functions we have to take into account this fact and the assumption that the bulk space is asymptotically anti-de Sitter. Asymptotically AdS is enough to get a well defined boundary value problem just in terms of the field boundary theory sources. Let us analyze now what this implies for a general Lagrange density.

---

[3] It has been proved in [38] that the gravitational Chern-Simons term does not introduce new divergences in the system if the space is asymptoticaly AdS.

3.1 The holographic dictionary with higher derivatives

Let us assume a general renormalized Lagrangian for an arbitrary set of fields that we will call ϕ. After the four dimensional ADM decomposition one has,

$$S = \int d^4x\, dr\, \mathcal{L}(\phi, \dot{\phi}, D_\mu\phi, D_\mu\dot{\phi}, \ddot{\phi})\,,$$

where dot indicates derivative with respect to the radial coordinate. A general variation of the action leads now to

$$\delta S = \int d^4x\, dr\, \left[\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\phi}\delta\phi + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\phi}}\delta\dot{\phi} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(D_\mu\phi)}\delta(D_\mu\phi) + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(D_\mu\dot{\phi})}\delta(D_\mu\dot{\phi}) + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\ddot{\phi}}\delta\ddot{\phi}\right]\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Through a series of partial integrations we can bring this into the following form,

$$\delta S = \int d^4x\, dr\, \mathrm{E.O.M.}\,\delta\phi + \int_{\partial_\epsilon} d^4x\, \left[\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\phi}} - D_\mu\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(D_\mu\dot{\phi})}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\ddot{\phi}}\right)^{\cdot}\right)\delta\phi + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\ddot{\phi}}\delta\dot{\phi}\right]\,. \tag{3.2}$$

The bulk terms are the equations of motion. For a generic boundary, the form of the variation shows that Dirichlet boundary conditions can not be imposed. Vanishing of the action rather imposes a relation between $\delta\phi$ and $\delta\dot{\phi}$.

If we have applications of holography in mind, there is however another way of dealing with the boundary term. We suppose now that we are working in an asymptotically anti-de Sitter space. The field ϕ has therefore a boundary expansion

$$\phi = e^{(\Delta-4)r}\phi^{(0)} + \text{subleading}\,,$$

here Δ is the dimension (conformal weight) of the operator that is sourced by $\phi^{(0)}$. Since this is a generic property of holography in asymptotically AdS spaces, we can relate the derivative of the variation to the variation itself,

$$\delta\dot{\phi} = (\Delta-4)e^{(\Delta-4)r}\delta\phi^{(0)} + \text{subleading}\,.$$

Using this and the fact that the one point function of the consistent operator $\mathcal{O}_\phi$ is defined as the variation of the on-shell action with respect to the source $\phi^{(0)}$, we find

$$\sqrt{-h^{(0)}}\mathcal{O}_\phi = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon^{(\Delta-4)r}\left[\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\phi}} - D_\mu\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(D_\mu\dot{\phi})}\right) - \frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\ddot{\phi}}\right) + (\Delta-4)\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\ddot{\phi}}\right)\right]\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Without loss of generality we can evaluate this in Gaussian normal coordinates where the metric takes the form $ds^2 = dr^2 + h_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu$, and in the gauge $A_r = 0$. The gauge variation of the action depends only on the intrinsic four dimensional curvature of the boundary. From this we can compute the "bare" consistent U(1) current and energy-momentum tensor, and the result is

$$16\pi G J^\mu_{(c)} = -\frac{\sqrt{-h}}{\sqrt{-h^{(0)}}}\left[F^{r\mu} + \frac{4}{3}\kappa\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}A_\nu\hat{F}_{\rho\lambda}\right]_\epsilon\,, \tag{3.4}$$

$$8\pi G T^{\mu\nu}_{(c)} = \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{\sqrt{-h^{(0)}}}\left[K^{\mu\nu} - K\gamma^{\mu\nu} + 4\lambda\epsilon^{(\mu\alpha\beta\rho}\left(\frac{1}{2}\hat{F}_{\alpha\beta}\hat{R}^{\nu)}_{\rho} + D_\delta(A_\alpha\hat{R}^{\delta\nu)}{}_{\beta\rho})\right)\right]_\epsilon\,. \tag{3.5}$$

Now taking the divergence of these expressions and using the equations of motion, we get the anomalous charge conservation and the energy-momentum conservation relations respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu J^\mu_{(c)} &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\left(\frac{\kappa}{3}\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}\hat{F}_{\rho\lambda} + \lambda \hat{R}^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu}\hat{R}^\beta{}_{\alpha\rho\lambda}\right) , && (3.6)\\ D_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{(c)} &= -J_{(c)\mu}\hat{F}^{\mu\nu} + A^\nu D_\mu J^\mu_{(c)} . && (3.7)\end{aligned}$$

These are precisely the consistent Ward identities for a theory invariant under diffeomorfisms with a mixed gauge gravitational anomaly. A good general reference for anomalies is Bertlmann's book [61] where the consistent form of the anomaly for chiral fermions transforming under a $U(1)_L$ symmetry group is quoted as

$$D_\mu J^\mu_{(c)} = \frac{1}{96\pi^2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\lambda} + \frac{1}{768\pi^2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\hat{R}^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu}\hat{R}^\beta{}_{\alpha\rho\lambda} . \tag{3.8}$$

We use this to fix κ and λ to the anomaly coefficients for a single chiral fermion transforming under a $U(1)_L$ symmetry, therefore

$$-\frac{\kappa}{48\pi G} = \frac{1}{96\pi^2} \qquad , \qquad -\frac{\lambda}{16\pi G} = \frac{1}{768\pi^2} . \tag{3.9}$$

3.2 Covariant form of the current and energy-momentum tensor

We have computed the currents as the derivative of the field theory quantum action, and the anomaly is therefore in the form of the consistent anomaly. Since we are dealing only with a single $U(1)$ symmetry, the (gauge) anomaly is automatically expressed in terms of the field strength. However it is always possible to add a Chern-Simons current and to redefine the charge current $J^\mu \to J^\mu + c\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}A_\nu F_{\rho\lambda}$, and the energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu} \to T^{\mu\nu} + c'\epsilon^{\alpha(\mu\rho\lambda}D_\beta\left(A_\alpha R^{\beta\nu)}{}_{\rho\lambda}\right)$. These redefined quantities can not be expressed as the variation of a local functional of the fields with respect to the gauge and metric fields respectively. In particular the so-called covariant form of the anomaly differs precisely in such a redefinition of the current. [4]

Adding such a terms to the consistent current and energy-momentum tensor (3.4)-(3.5), we can write the covariant expressions for these quantities which are the ones we will use to construct the hydrodynamical constitutive relations in the fluid/gravity approach,

$$\begin{aligned} 16\pi G J^\mu &= -\frac{\sqrt{-h}}{\sqrt{-h^{(0)}}}F^{r\mu}|_\epsilon , && (3.10)\\ 8\pi G T^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\sqrt{-h}}{\sqrt{-h^{(0)}}}\left[K^{\mu\nu} - Kh^{\mu\nu} + 2\lambda\epsilon^{(\mu\alpha\beta\rho}\hat{F}_{\alpha\beta}\hat{R}^{\nu)}_\rho\right]_\epsilon . && (3.11)\end{aligned}$$

4. Constitutive relations, derivative expansion and Weyl covariance

Some notions on conformal/Weyl covariant formalism are needed to construct the constitutive relations up to second order (for a detailed explanation see [29]). A conformal fluid has to be invariant under the change

---

[4] Note that the approaches used in [34, 35] and in subsequent works, typically make use of the covariant form of the anomaly.

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to e^{-2\phi(x)} g_{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\phi(x)$ is an arbitrary function. We will say that a tensor is Weyl convariant with weight w if it transforms as

$$Q^{\alpha\beta\ldots}_{\mu\nu\ldots} \to e^{w\phi(x)} Q^{\alpha\beta\ldots}_{\mu\nu\ldots} \,. \tag{4.2}$$

The consequences of conformal symmetry on hydrodynamics is that the energy momentum tensor and (non)-conserved currents have to be covariant under Weyl transformations and the energy momentum has to be traceless modulo contributions from Weyl anomaly. To construct Weyl covariant quantities it is necessary to introduce the Weyl connection

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = u^\nu D_\mu u_\nu - \frac{1}{3} D_\nu u^\nu \,, \tag{4.3}$$

and the Weyl covariant derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_\lambda Q^{\mu\ldots}_{\nu\ldots} = {} & D_\lambda Q^{\mu\ldots}_{\nu\ldots} - w\mathcal{A}_\lambda Q^{\mu\ldots}_{\nu\ldots} + \\
& + \left[g_{\lambda\alpha}\mathcal{A}^\mu - \delta^\mu_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\alpha - \delta^\mu_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\lambda \right] Q^{\alpha\ldots}_{\nu\ldots} + \ldots \\
& - \left[g_{\lambda\nu}\mathcal{A}^\alpha - \delta^\alpha_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\nu - \delta^\alpha_\nu \mathcal{A}_\lambda \right] Q^{\mu\ldots}_{\alpha\ldots} + \ldots \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

We show in table 1 the Weyl weights of some of the hydrodynamical variables. It is possible to reduce in a systematic way the number of independent sources contributing to the constitutive relations by imposing Weyl covariance and the hydrodynamical equations of motion (Ward idetities). A classification in the so called Landau frame of all the possible terms that can appear in the energy-momentum tensor and U(1) current has been done up to second order in [31, 32, 60]. The Ward identities in four dimensions in presence of quantum anomalies are shown in (3.6) and (3.7). The curvature part has been usually neglected in the literature as it is fourth order in derivatives and the expansion is usually done up to second order. But it was shown in [37,38] that the gravitational anomaly indeed fixes part of the transport coefficients at first order. Actually in [44] it was understood why the derivative expansion breaks down in presence of the gravitational anomaly.

Field	weight
μ, T, u^μ	1
$g_{\mu\nu}$	-2
p	4
n, E^μ, B^μ	3

Table 1: Weyl weights for the chemical potential, temperature, fluid velocity, metric, pressure, charge density, electric field and magnetic field.

With these ingredients we can write down the constitutive relations in the Landau frame

$$T^{\mu\nu} = p(4u^\mu u^\nu + \eta^{\mu\nu}) + \tau^{\mu\nu}_{(1)} + \tau^{\mu\nu}_{(1)\mathrm{ano}} + \tau^{\mu\nu}_{(2)} + \tau^{\mu\nu}_{(2)\mathrm{ano}} \,, \tag{4.5}$$

$$J^\mu = n u^\mu + \nu^\mu_{(1)} + \nu^\mu_{(1)\mathrm{ano}} + \nu^\mu_{(2)} + \nu^\mu_{(2)\mathrm{ano}} \,, \tag{4.6}$$

where we have split the expressions in the equilibrium, first order and second order (anomalous + non anomalous) parts. Weyl invariance implies the equation of state $\epsilon = 3p$ and the vanishing of the bulk viscosity $\zeta = 0$. [5] The subindex in parenthesis indicates the order in the derivative expansion. The ambiguity in the definition of temperature, chemical potential and fluid velocity which appears when the system is slightly out of equilibrium is fixed by using the Landau frame, in which it is demanded that $u^\mu \tau_{(n)\mu\nu} = 0$ and $u^\mu \nu_{(n)\mu} = 0$. Up to first order, the most general contributions to the conformal energy momentum tensor and $U(1)$ current are

$$\tau^{\mu\nu}_{(1)} = -2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} \,, \qquad \tau^{\mu\nu}_{(1)\text{ano}} = 0 \,, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\nu^{\mu}_{(1)} = -\sigma\left(TP^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}_\nu \bar{\mu} - E^\mu\right) \,, \qquad \nu^{\mu}_{(1)\text{ano}} = \xi_V \omega^\mu + \xi_B B^\mu \,, \tag{4.8}$$

where we have defined the Weyl invariant quantity $\bar{\mu} = \mu/T$. In these expressions η, σ, ξ_V and ξ_B are the shear viscosity, electrical conductivity, chiral vortical and chiral magnetic conductivities respectively, while $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$, ω^μ, E^μ and B^μ are the shear tensor, vorticity, electric and magnetic field respectively, defined as

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_\mu u_\nu + \mathcal{D}_\nu u_\mu\right) \,, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{D}_\mu u_\nu - \mathcal{D}_\nu u_\mu \,, \tag{4.10}$$

$$\omega^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\nu \omega_{\alpha\beta} \,, \tag{4.11}$$

$$E^\mu = F^{\mu\nu} u_\nu \,, \tag{4.12}$$

$$B^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\nu F_{\alpha\beta} \,. \tag{4.13}$$

Finally $P^{\mu\nu} = h^{\mu\nu} + u^\mu u^\nu$ is the projector in the space orthogonal to the velocity field. From previous definitions one can easily prove that the strength tensor decomposes in the following way:

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = u_\alpha E_\beta - u_\beta E_\alpha - \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\mu} u^\rho B^\mu \,. \tag{4.14}$$

The second order contributions to the constitutive relations are

$$\tau^{\mu\nu}_{(2)} = \sum_{a=1}^{a=15} \Lambda_a \mathcal{T}^{(a)\mu\nu} \quad , \quad \tau^{\mu\nu}_{(2)ano} = \sum_{a=1}^{a=8} \tilde{\Lambda}_a \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(a)\mu\nu} \,, \tag{4.15}$$

$$j^{\mu}_{(2)} = \sum_{a=1}^{a=10} \xi_a \mathcal{J}^{(a)\mu} \quad , \quad j^{\mu}_{(2)ano} = \sum_{a=1}^{a=5} \tilde{\xi}_a \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(a)\mu} \,, \tag{4.16}$$

---

[5] As it has been discussed in [60] the anomalous terms in the constitutive relations are those whose transport coefficients have $(C, P) = (\pm 1, -1)$, and they correspond to the ones containing odd powers in the anomaly coefficients κ and λ. See this reference for a systematic classification of anomalous and non anomalous terms.

with the second order tensors defined as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}^{(1)\mu\nu} = u^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\alpha \sigma^{\mu\nu} \,, & \mathcal{T}^{(2)\mu\nu} = \sigma^{\langle\mu}{}_\gamma \sigma^{\nu\rangle\gamma} \,, & \mathcal{T}^{(3)} = \sigma^{\langle\mu}{}_\gamma \omega^{\mu\rangle\gamma} \,, \\ \mathcal{T}^{(4)\mu\nu} = \omega^{\langle\mu}{}_\gamma \omega^{\nu\rangle\gamma} \,, & \mathcal{T}^{(5)\mu\nu} = \mathcal{D}^{\langle\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\nu\rangle}\bar{\mu} \,, & \mathcal{T}^{(6)\mu\nu} = \mathcal{D}^{\langle\mu}\bar{\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\nu\rangle}\bar{\mu} \,, \\ \mathcal{T}^{(7)\mu\nu} = \mathcal{D}^{\langle\mu}E^{\nu\rangle} \,, & \mathcal{T}^{(8)\mu\nu} = E^{\langle\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\nu\rangle}\bar{\mu} \,, & \mathcal{T}^{(9)\mu\nu} = E^{\langle\mu}E^{\nu\rangle} \,, \\ \mathcal{T}^{(10)\mu\nu} = B^{\langle\mu}B^{\nu\rangle} \,, & \mathcal{T}^{(11)\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\gamma\delta\eta\langle\mu} u_\gamma B_\delta \sigma^{\nu\rangle}{}_\eta \,, & \mathcal{T}^{(12)\mu\nu} = \omega^{\langle\mu}B^{\nu\rangle} \,, \\ \mathcal{T}^{(13)\mu\nu} = C^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}P_{\alpha\beta} \,, & \mathcal{T}^{(14)\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}\epsilon^{\nu\delta\eta\lambda}C_{\alpha\beta\delta\eta}u_\gamma u_\lambda \,, & \mathcal{T}^{(15)\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\langle\mu\gamma\delta\eta}C_{\gamma\delta}{}^{\nu\rangle\lambda}u_\eta u_\lambda \,, \end{array} \tag{4.17}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(1)\mu\nu} = \mathcal{D}^{\langle\mu}\omega^{\nu\rangle} \,, & \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(2)\mu\nu} = \omega^{\langle\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\nu\rangle}\bar{\mu} \,, & \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(3)\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\gamma\delta\eta\langle\mu}\sigma^{\nu\rangle}{}_\eta u_\gamma \mathcal{D}_\delta \bar{\mu} \,, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(4)\mu\nu} = \mathcal{D}^{\langle\mu}B^{\nu\rangle} \,, & \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(5)\mu\nu} = B^{\langle\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\nu\rangle}\bar{\mu} \,, & \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(6)\mu\nu} = E^{\langle\mu}B^{\nu\rangle} \,, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(7)\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\gamma\delta\eta\langle\mu}\sigma^{\nu\rangle}{}_\eta u_\gamma E_\delta \,, & \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(8)\mu\nu} = \omega^{\langle\mu}E^{\nu\rangle} \,, & \end{array} \tag{4.18}$$

where $C^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}$ is the conformal Weyl curvature tensor, $\Pi^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\left(P^\mu_\alpha P^\nu_\beta + P^\nu_\alpha P^\mu_\beta - \frac{2}{3}P^{\mu\nu}P_{\alpha\beta}\right)$ is a transverse traceless projector and we use the notation $X^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} = \Pi^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta}X^{\alpha\beta}$. The second order vectors are

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J}^{(1)\mu} = \sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}_\nu\bar{\mu} \,, & \mathcal{J}^{(2)\mu} = \omega^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}_\nu\bar{\mu} \,, & \mathcal{J}^{(3)\mu} = P^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}^\alpha\sigma_{\nu\alpha} \,, \\ \mathcal{J}^{(4)\mu} = P^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}^\alpha\omega_{\nu\alpha} \,, & \mathcal{J}^{(5)\mu} = \sigma^{\mu\nu}E_\nu \,, & \mathcal{J}^{(6)\mu} = \omega^{\mu\nu}E_\nu \,, \\ \mathcal{J}^{(7)\mu} = u^\nu\mathcal{D}_\nu E^\mu \,, & \mathcal{J}^{(8)\mu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu B_\alpha \mathcal{D}_\beta\bar{\mu} \,, & \mathcal{J}^{(9)\mu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu E_\alpha B_\beta \,, \\ \mathcal{J}^{(10)\mu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu \mathcal{D}_\alpha B_\beta \,, & & \end{array} \tag{4.19}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(1)\mu} = \sigma^{\mu\nu}\omega_\nu \,, & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(2)\mu} = \sigma^{\mu\nu}B_\nu \,, & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(3)\mu} = \omega^{\mu\nu}B_\nu \,, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(4)\mu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu E_\alpha \mathcal{D}_\beta\bar{\mu} \,, & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{(5)\mu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu \mathcal{D}_\alpha E_\beta \,. & \end{array} \tag{4.20}$$

This classification of independent possible terms has been previously presented in [60]. We consider in this work a flat background metric, so we are neglecting those terms proportional to the conformal Weyl tensor.

Here we write for completeness the first order transport coefficients for the anomalous holographic plasma computed in the literature,

$$\eta = \frac{r_+^3}{16\pi G} \,, \qquad \sigma = \frac{\pi r_+^7 T^2}{16 G m^2} \,, \tag{4.21}$$

$$\xi_B = -\frac{\sqrt{3}q\left(m + 3r_+^4\right)\kappa}{8\pi G m r_+^2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi q T^2\lambda}{Gm} \,, \quad \xi_V = -\frac{3q^2\kappa}{4\pi G m} + \frac{2\pi\left(2q^2 - r_+^6\right)T^2\lambda}{G m r_+^2} \,, \tag{4.22}$$

where m, q and r_+ are the mass, charge and radius of the outer horizon of the black hole, which we will define in more detail in section 5. We write also here our main results corresponding to the second order transport coefficients that are completely new. We express some of them as an expansion in the parameter $\bar{\mu}$ assuming that $\bar{\mu} \ll 1$,

$$\tau_E = -\frac{\Lambda_7}{2\eta} = \frac{\eta^2}{4p^2}\left(\frac{\mu}{r_+} + \frac{5}{6}\left(\frac{\mu}{r_+}\right)^3\right) , \tag{4.23}$$

$$\Lambda_8 = -\frac{\eta}{r_+^2}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{12\pi^3}(14\log 2 - 1)\bar{\mu}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^4)\right) , \tag{4.24}$$

$$\Lambda_9 = \frac{\eta}{r_+^3}\left(\frac{11}{6} + \frac{1}{2}\log 2 + \frac{1}{12\pi^2}(7 - 8\log 2)\bar{\mu}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^4)\right) , \tag{4.25}$$

$$\Lambda_{12} = -\frac{\eta\bar{\mu}}{r_+^2}\left(\frac{T}{r_+} - \frac{16\kappa T^3\bar{\mu}^2}{M r_+^3} + \frac{128}{3\pi}\kappa\lambda(5 - 12\log 2) + \frac{256}{15\pi}\lambda^2(29 + 60\log 2) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^2)\right) , \tag{4.26}$$

$$\tilde{l}_B = -\frac{\Lambda_4}{2\eta} = \frac{1}{2\pi p G}\left(\kappa\frac{\mu^2}{8} + \lambda(\pi T)^2\right) , \tag{4.27}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_5 = -\frac{4\eta\bar{\mu}}{\pi^2 r_+^2}\left(\kappa(1 - 2\log 2) + 2\lambda(1 + 2\log 2) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^2)\right) , \tag{4.28}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_6 = \frac{8\eta\bar{\mu}}{\pi r_+^3}\left(-\kappa\log 2 + \lambda(5 + 2\log 2) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^2)\right) , \tag{4.29}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_7 = -\frac{32\eta}{r_+^2}\lambda\,, \tag{4.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Lambda}_8 = \frac{\eta}{p^2}\Bigg[&\frac{r_+^4\bar{\mu}^2}{64(\pi G)^2}\left(-T^2 + \frac{T^4\bar{\mu}^2}{3r_+^2}\right)\kappa \\ &+\frac{r_+^6\lambda}{8\pi^2 G^2}\left(-\log 2 + \frac{5}{18\pi^2}\bar{\mu}^2(5 + 6\log 2) + \frac{1}{216\pi^4}\bar{\mu}^4(199 - 726\log 2) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^6)\right)\Bigg] ,\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

$$\xi_5 = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\left(\frac{1}{2}\log 2 + \frac{1}{12\pi^2}\bar{\mu}^2(11 - 24\log 2) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^4)\right) , \tag{4.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_6 = &-\frac{1}{16\pi G} - \frac{17\bar{\mu}^2}{192\pi^3 G} + \frac{\kappa^2\bar{\mu}^2}{\pi^3 G} - \frac{\log 2}{64\pi G} + \frac{\bar{\mu}^2\log 2}{48\pi^3 G} + \lambda^2\left(\frac{1456\bar{\mu}^2}{15\pi^3 G} - \frac{16\bar{\mu}^2\log 2}{\pi^3 G}\right) \\ &+\kappa\lambda\left(\frac{4}{G\pi} - \frac{130\bar{\mu}^2}{9G\pi^3} + \frac{8\log 2}{G\pi} - \frac{20\bar{\mu}^2\log 2}{3G\pi^3}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^4)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

$$\xi_7 = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\left(\frac{4 + \log 2}{2} + \frac{1}{12\pi^2}\bar{\mu}^2(1 - 8\log 2)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^4)\,, \tag{4.34}$$

$$\xi_8 = -\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\pi^3 G}\Big(\frac{7}{192} - 2\kappa^2(2 - 3\log 2) + \frac{4\kappa\lambda(14 - 27\log 2)}{3} - \frac{4\lambda^2(31 - 60\log 2)}{5}\Big) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^3)\,, \tag{4.35}$$

$$\xi_9 = \frac{1}{\pi^2 G r_+}\left(\frac{11}{192} + 2\kappa^2\log 2 - 2\kappa\lambda(1 + 2\log 2) + 39\lambda^2\right)\bar{\mu} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^3)\,, \tag{4.36}$$

$$\xi_{10} = \frac{1}{\pi G}\left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{\bar{\mu}^2}{64\pi^2} + \frac{2\bar{\mu}^2}{\pi^2}\kappa^2\left(-1 + 2\log 2\right) + \frac{2\bar{\mu}^2}{\pi^2}\kappa\lambda\left(5 - 12\log 2\right)\right. \tag{4.37}$$

$$\left. -\frac{2}{\pi^2}\lambda^2\left(9\pi^2 - \bar{\mu}^2\left(\frac{116}{15} + 16\log 2\right)\right)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^4)\,, \tag{4.38}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_2 = \frac{\bar{\mu}}{144\pi^4 G}\Big[3\kappa(24\pi^2\log 2 + \bar{\mu}^2(33 - 68\log 2)) - 8\lambda(18\pi^2(1+\log 2) + \bar{\mu}^2(5 - 51\log 2))\Big] + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^5)\,, \tag{4.39}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_3 = -\frac{\mu\sigma}{\pi G p}\left(\kappa\frac{\mu^2}{24} + \lambda(\pi T)^2\right)\,, \tag{4.40}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_4 = \frac{1}{8G\pi^2}\left(\kappa\left(2\log 2 + \frac{3\bar{\mu}^2(2 - 5\log 2)}{\pi^2}\right) - 4\lambda\left(1 + 2\log 2 - \frac{\bar{\mu}^2(5 + 90\log 2)}{6\pi^2}\right)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^4)\,, \tag{4.41}$$

$$\tilde{l}_E = \frac{\tilde{\xi}_5}{\sigma} = -\frac{8\bar{\mu}}{\pi r_+}(\kappa\log 2 - 2\lambda(1 + 2\log 2)) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^3)\,. \tag{4.42}$$

As we shall see most of the coefficients computed receive $\lambda-$corrections. Indeed not only the anomalous transport coefficients are sensitive to the presence of the anomaly, but also the non-anomalous ones get corrected as well. The latter had been computed in the past without including the mixed gravitational anomaly, so these corrections were neglected.

5. Fluid/Gravity Computation

The system of bulk equations of motion (2.6) and (2.7) admits an AdS Reissner-Nordström black-brane solution of the form

$$ds^2 = -r^2 f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + dx^i dx^i\,, \tag{5.1}$$

$$A = \phi(r)dt\,, \tag{5.2}$$

with $f(r) = 1 - m/r^4 + q^2/r^6$ and $\phi(r) = -\sqrt{3}q/r^2$. The real and positive zeros of $f(r)$ are

$$r_+ = \frac{\pi T}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{3\pi^2}\bar{\mu}^2}\right)\,, \tag{5.3}$$

$$r_-^2 = \frac{1}{2}r_+^2\left(-1 + \sqrt{9 - \frac{8}{\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{3\pi^2}\bar{\mu}^2}\right)}}\right)\,, \tag{5.4}$$

where r_+ is the outer horizon and r_- the inner one. The mass of the black hole can be written in terms of hydrodynamical variables as

$$m = \frac{\pi^4 T^4}{2^4}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{3\pi^2}\bar{\mu}^2}\right)^3\left(-1 + 3\sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{3\pi^2}\bar{\mu}^2}\right)\,. \tag{5.5}$$

The boosted version of this blackhole in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates looks like

$$ds^2 = -r^2 f(r) u_\mu u_\nu dx^\mu dx^\nu + r^2 P_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - 2u_\mu dx^\mu dr\,, \tag{5.6}$$

$$A = -\phi(r) u_\mu dx^\mu\,, \tag{5.7}$$

with the normalization condition $u_\mu u^\mu = -1$. (5.6) and (5.7) is a solution of the equations of motion as long as m, q and u_μ are independent of the space-time coordinates x^μ. The fluid/gravity approach tells us that we have to promote all the parameters to slow varying functions of the space time coordinates, and include corrections to the metric in order to make it a solution of the equations of motion again.

5.1 Weyl covariant ansatz

In order to follow the fluid/gravity techniques [27, 31, 32, 62] we will use a Weyl invariant formalism [63] in which the identification of the transport coefficients is direct. We start with the ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -2W_1(\rho)u_\mu dx^\mu\left(dr^2 + r\mathcal{A}_\nu dx^\nu\right) + r^2\left[W_2(\rho)\eta_{\mu\nu} + W_3(\rho)u_\mu u_\nu + 2\frac{W_{4\sigma}(\rho)}{r_+}P^\sigma_\mu u_\nu\right. \\ & \left. + \frac{W_{5\mu\nu}(\rho)}{r_+^2}\right]dx^\mu dx^\nu\,, \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

$$A = \left(a_\mu^{(b)} + a_\nu(\rho)P^\nu_\mu + r_+ c(\rho)u_\mu\right)dx^\mu\,, \tag{5.9}$$

where now r_+ is an unknown function of the space-time coordinates, $r_+(x^\mu)$, and coincides with the radius of the outer horizon of the black hole (5.3) only when the x^μ dependence is gone. $a_\mu^{(b)} = a_\mu^{(b)}(x^\mu)$ is a boundary background gauge field satisfying $a_\mu^{(b)}(x_0^\mu) = 0$. Notice that $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric, so we will look for metric solutions with flat boundary. The r-coordinate has Weyl weight $+1$, and in consequence r_+ has the same property. By construction the W functions are Weyl invariant, so that they will depend on r only in a Weyl invariant way, i.e, $W(r) \equiv W(\rho)$ with $\rho = r/r_+$. $W_{5\mu\nu}(r)$ obeys the traceless and transversality conditions $W_{5\mu}^{\mu}(r) = 0$, $u^\mu W_{5\mu\nu}(r) = 0$. All these scalars, vectors and tensors will be understood in term of a derivative expansion in the transverse coordinates, i.e. $F(\rho) = F^{(0)}(\rho) + \varepsilon F^{(1)}(\rho) + \varepsilon^2 F^{(2)}(\rho) + O(\varepsilon^3)$ for a generic function F, with ε a parameter counting the number of boundary space-time derivatives. [6] This solution leads to the current and energy momentum tensor after using the AdS/CFT dictionary (see (3.10) and (3.11))

$$J_\mu = \frac{1}{8\pi G}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(r_+^3 c^{(\bar{2},\epsilon)}u_\mu + r_+^2 a_\mu^{(\bar{2},\epsilon)} + J_\mu^{ct}\right)\,, \tag{5.10}$$

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(r_+^4(W_2+W_3)^{(\bar{4},\epsilon)}(4u_\mu u_\nu + \eta_{\mu\nu}) + 4r_+^2 W_{5\mu\nu}^{(\bar{4},\epsilon)} + 8r_+^3 W_{4\sigma}^{(\bar{4},\epsilon)}P^\sigma_{(\mu}u_{\nu)} + T^{ct}_{\mu\nu}\right)\,, \tag{5.11}$$

where $F^{(\bar{n},\epsilon)}$ denotes the coefficient of the term $(\rho^{-1}-\epsilon)^n$ in an expansion around the regularized boundary, and ϵ determines the position of the cut-off surface $\rho = 1/\epsilon$. The counterterms in the current J_μ^{ct} and energy momentum tensor $T^{ct}_{\mu\nu}$ are needed to make the expressions finite, and they follow from the counterterm of the action (2.4). They write

$$J_\mu^{ct} = \frac{1}{2}\log\epsilon\left[(\mathcal{D}_\nu E^\nu - 2\omega_\nu B^\nu)u_\mu + \mathcal{J}_\mu^{(5)} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}_\mu^{(6)} - \mathcal{J}_\mu^{(7)} + \mathcal{J}_\mu^{(10)}\right]\,, \tag{5.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{ct}_{\mu\nu} = \log\epsilon\Big[& -\frac{1}{6}(B_\beta B^\beta + E_\beta E^\beta)P_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(E_\alpha E^\alpha + B_\alpha B^\alpha)u_\mu u_\nu + \mathcal{T}^{(9)}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{T}^{(10)}_{\mu\nu} \\ & -(\mathcal{J}_\mu^{(9)}u_\nu + \mathcal{J}_\nu^{(9)}u_\mu)\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

---

[6] This expansion of $F(\rho)$ is basically a Taylor expansion around the point x_0^μ.

We are considering a flat background metric, and so the divergences appear only through terms involving electromagnetic fields, in addition to the cosmological constant contribution which was already taken into account in (5.11).

The functions at zeroth order in the derivative expansion correspond to the boosted charged blackhole, i.e. [7]

$$c^{(0)}(\rho) = -\frac{\phi(\rho)}{r_+}\,, \tag{5.14}$$

$$W_1^{(0)}(\rho) = 1 = W_2^{(0)}(\rho)\,, \tag{5.15}$$

$$W_3^{(0)}(\rho) = 1 - f(\rho)\,, \tag{5.16}$$

$$W_{4\mu}^{(0)}(\rho) = 0 = W_{5\mu\nu}^{(0)}(\rho)\,, \tag{5.17}$$

$$a_\mu^{(0)}(\rho) = 0\,. \tag{5.18}$$

Then the charge current and energy momentum tensor at this order read

$$J_\mu^{(0)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}q}{8\pi G}u_\mu\,, \qquad T_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = \frac{m}{16\pi G}\left(4u_\mu u_\nu + \eta_{\mu\nu}\right)\,. \tag{5.19}$$

From this we obtain the equilibrium pressure and charge density $p = \frac{m}{16\pi G}$ and $n = \frac{\sqrt{3}q}{8\pi G}$. For computational reasons it is convenient to define a Weyl invariant charge $Q \equiv q/r_+^3$ and mass $M \equiv m/r_+^4 = 1 + Q^2$. In terms of these redefined parameters, the black hole temperature and chemical potential read

$$T = \frac{r_+}{2\pi}(2 - Q^2)\,, \qquad \mu = \sqrt{3}r_+ Q\,. \tag{5.20}$$

We also define the inner horizon in the ρ-coordinate, $\rho_2 \equiv r_-/r_+$.

5.2 Einstein-Maxwell equations of motion and Ward identities

Inserting the ansatz (5.8)-(5.9) into the Einstein-Maxwell system of equations we find a set of $(2\times\mathbf{1} + 2\times\mathbf{3} + \mathbf{5})$ differential equations and $(2\times\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{3})$ constraints relating the allowed $Q(x^\mu)$, $r_+(x^\mu)$, $u^\nu(x^\mu)$ and $a_\nu^{(b)}(x^\mu)$ [32]. [8] We need to solve the equations of motion around a certain point x_0^μ that we choose to be $x_0^\mu = 0$. At such point we sit in a frame in which $u^\mu(0) = (1,0,0,0)$ and $a_\mu^{(b)}(0) = 0$.

The scalar sector is obtained from the rr, rv and vv components of the Einstein equations, and the r and v components of the Maxwell equations. One finds two constraints

$$E_{vv}^{(n)} + r^2 f(r) E_{rv}^{(n)} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\mathcal{D}_\mu T_v^\mu = F_{v\alpha}J^\alpha\right)^{(n-1)}\,, \tag{5.21}$$

$$M_v^{(n)} + r^2 f(r) M_r^{(n)} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\mathcal{D}_\mu J^\mu = c_1 F\wedge F\right)^{(n-1)}\,, \tag{5.22}$$

which, as indicated, correspond to the energy-momentum and current non-conservation relations at order $n-1$. [9] The combinations $E_{rr} = 0$, $E_{rv} + r^2 f(r) E_{rr} = 0$ and $M_r = 0$

---

[7] Following the notation in [31], barred superscripts $(\bar{n})$ should not be confused with superscripts (n), where the latter refers to the order in the hydrodynamical expansion.

[8] $\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ denote the $SO(3)$ scalars, vectors and tensors in which the fields are decomposed.

[9] Notice that there are no curvature terms in (5.22) and (5.21) because we are working with a flat boundary.

leads respectively to the set of differential equations

$$3\partial_\rho W_1^{(n)}(\rho) - \frac{3}{2}\rho^{-1}\partial_\rho\left(\rho^2\partial_\rho W_2^{(n)}(\rho)\right) = \mathbb{S}^{(n)}(\rho)\,, \tag{5.23}$$

$$\partial_\rho\left(\rho^4 W_3^{(n)}\right) + 8\rho^3 W_1^{(n)} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}Q\partial_\rho c^{(n)} + (1-4\rho^4)\partial_\rho W_2^{(n)} - 4\rho^3 W_2^{(n)} = \mathbb{K}^{(n)}(\rho)\,, \tag{5.24}$$

$$\partial_\rho\left(\rho^3\partial_\rho c^{(n)}\right) - 2\sqrt{3}Q\partial_\rho W_1^{(n)}(\rho) + 3\sqrt{3}Q\partial_\rho W_2^{(n)} = \mathbb{C}^{(n)}(\rho)\,. \tag{5.25}$$

At this stage there is still some gauge freedom in the metric. There are three (metric) scalar fields ($W_1\,, W_2$ and W_3) but the Einstein's equations give two differential equations, (5.23) and (5.24). We choose the gauge $W_2(\rho) = 1$ in which the system partially decouples and can be solved as

$$W_1^{(n,\epsilon)}(\rho) = -\frac{1}{3}\int_\rho^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \mathrm{d}x\, \mathbb{S}^{(n)}(x)\,, \tag{5.26}$$

$$W_3^{(n,\epsilon)}(\rho) = \frac{C_0}{\rho^4} - \frac{1}{\rho^4}\int_\rho^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \mathrm{d}x\left(\mathbb{K}^{(n)}(x) - 8x^3 W_1^{(n,\epsilon)}(x) + \frac{2Q}{\sqrt{3}}\partial_x c^{(n,\epsilon)}(x)\right)\,, \tag{5.27}$$

$$c^{(n,\epsilon)}(\rho) = c_0\frac{(1-\epsilon^2\rho^2)}{\rho^2} - \int_\rho^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \mathrm{d}x\, x^{-3}\int_1^x \mathrm{d}y\left(\mathbb{C}^{(n)}(y) + \frac{2Q}{\sqrt{3}}\mathbb{S}^{(n)}(y)\right)\,. \tag{5.28}$$

These solutions have been constructed by requiring Dirichlet boundary conditions at the cut-off surface and demanding regularity at the interior of the bulk. The remaining integration constants C_0 and c_0 are associated to the freedom of choosing a frame in the hydrodynamic set up.

In a similar way, the vector sector is constructed with the components of the equations of motion E_{ri}, E_{vi} and M_i. They lead to a constraint equation,

$$E_{vi}^{(n)} + r^2 f(r)E_{ri}^{(n)} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\mathcal{D}_\mu T^\mu_i = F_{i\alpha}J^\alpha\right)^{(n-1)}\,, \tag{5.29}$$

implying the energy conservation equation, and the two dynamical equations

$$\partial_\rho\left(\rho^5\partial_\rho W_{4i}^{(n)} + 2\sqrt{3}Q a_i^{(n)}(\rho)\right) = \mathbb{J}_i^{(n)}(\rho)\,, \tag{5.30}$$

$$\partial_\rho\left(\rho^3 f(\rho)\partial_\rho a_i^{(n)}(\rho) + 2\sqrt{3}Q\partial_\rho W_{4i}^{(n)}(\rho)\right) = \mathbb{A}_i^{(n)}(\rho)\,, \tag{5.31}$$

corresponding to $E_{ri} = 0$ and $M_i = 0$ respectively. The general solution of this system in the Landau frame has been found in [31] with neither background fields at the boundary nor gravitational anomaly. It is straightforward to generalize the solution to the case in which electromagnetic sources are included. In this case new divergences arise that need to be regulated with the cut-off $1/\epsilon$, and then to be substracted with the corresponding counterterms (5.12)-(5.13). Having found in [31] the general solution of the system, the contribution to the current coming from the vector sector writes (cf. (5.10))

$$a_\nu^{(\bar{2},\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathbb{A}_\nu\left(1/\epsilon\right) - \int_1^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \mathrm{d}x\, \mathbb{A}_\nu(x)\right) - \frac{\sqrt{3}Q}{M}C_\nu^{(\epsilon)} - \frac{\sqrt{3}Q}{4M}D_\nu^{(\epsilon)}, \tag{5.32}$$

where the integration constants $C_\nu^{(\epsilon)}$ and $D_\nu^{(\epsilon)}$ are determined by fixing the Landau frame ($W_{4\sigma}^{(\bar{4},\epsilon)} = 0$), and demanding regularity at the outer horizon respectively. These constants write

$$4C_\nu^{(\epsilon)} = -\sum_{m=0}^{2} \frac{(-1)^m \partial_\rho^m \mathbb{J}_\nu(1/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^{m+1}(m+1)!} + \int_1^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \mathrm{d}x\, \mathbb{J}_\nu(x) - \mathcal{J}_\nu^{(9)} \log\epsilon\,, \tag{5.33}$$

$$D_\nu^{(\epsilon)} = -\sqrt{3}Q \int_1^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \mathrm{d}x\, \frac{\mathbb{A}_\nu(x)}{x^2} - M \int_1^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \mathrm{d}x\, \frac{\mathbb{J}_\nu(x)}{x^4} + Q^2 \int_1^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \mathrm{d}x\, \frac{\mathbb{J}_\nu(x)}{x^6}\,. \tag{5.34}$$

Finally the tensor equations are the combination $E_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\,\mathrm{tr}\,(E_{kl}) = 0$, which leads to the dynamical equation

$$\partial_\rho \left(\rho^5 f(\rho) \partial_\rho W_{5ij}^{(n)}(\rho)\right) = \mathbb{P}_{ij}^{(n)}(\rho)\,. \tag{5.35}$$

The solution of this equation that satisfies the Dirichlet boundary and regularity conditions writes

$$W_{5\mu\nu}^{(n,\epsilon)}(\rho) = -\int_\rho^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \mathrm{d}x\, \frac{\int_1^x \mathrm{d}y\, \mathbb{P}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(y)}{x^5 f(x)}\,. \tag{5.36}$$

After doing an asymptotic expansion of this solution around the regularized boundary surface, one can extract the tensor contribution to the energy momentum tensor (cf. (5.11)),

$$4W_{5\mu\nu}^{(\bar{4},\epsilon)} = -\sum_{m=0}^{2} \frac{(-1)^m \partial_\rho^m \mathbb{P}_{\mu\nu}(1/\epsilon)}{\epsilon^{m+1}(m+1)!} - \int_1^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} \mathrm{d}x\, \mathbb{P}_{\mu\nu}(x)\,. \tag{5.37}$$

Note that the form of the homogeneous part in the dynamical equations in the scalar, vector and tensor sectors is the same at any order in the derivative expansion. Each order n is then characterized by the specific form of the sources. In the next two sections we will compute the sources, and integrate them according to the formulae presented above to get the transport coefficients at first and second order.

6. First Order Transport Coefficients

The technology presented in Sec. 5 can be used to construct the solutions of the system at any order in a derivative expansion. As it has been already explained, the solution at zeroth order trivially leads to the charged blackhole with constant parameters (5.6)-(5.7). In this section we will solve the system up to first order. The transport coefficients at this order have been obtained previously in the literature using different methods in field theory and holography. In particular, they have been computed within the fluid/gravity approach, but not including external electric fields in this formalism, see eg. [30–32, 34].

6.1 Scalar sector

In the scalar sector, the first order sources look like

$$\mathbb{S}^{(1)}(\rho) = \mathbb{K}^{(1)}(\rho) = \mathbb{C}^{(1)}(\rho) = 0\,. \tag{6.1}$$

This very simple situation leads to the solution

$$W_1^{(1,\epsilon)}(\rho) = 0\,, \tag{6.2}$$

$$c^{(1,\epsilon)}(\rho) = c_0 \frac{(1-\epsilon^2\rho^2)}{\rho^2}\,, \tag{6.3}$$

$$W_3^{(1,\epsilon)}(\rho) = \frac{C_0}{\rho^4} + \frac{2Qc_0}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{(1-\epsilon^2\rho^2)}{\rho^6}\,. \tag{6.4}$$

The integration constants c_0 and C_0 can be fixed to zero because they just redefine the charge and mass of the black hole respectively.

6.2 Vector and tensor sector

The first order sources are given by

$$\mathbb{J}_\mu^{(1)} = -\lambda \frac{96}{\rho^3}\left(\frac{5Q^2}{\rho^2} - M\right)\frac{B_\mu}{r_+} - \sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(\frac{1008Q^2}{\rho^7} - \frac{320M}{\rho^5}\right)\omega_\mu\,, \tag{6.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A}_\mu^{(1)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi T}{Mr_+\rho^2}P_\mu^\nu \mathcal{D}_\nu Q - \left(1 + \frac{9Q^2}{2M\rho^2}\right)\frac{E_\mu}{r_+} - \frac{16\sqrt{3}\kappa Q}{\rho^3}\frac{B_\mu}{r_+} - \frac{48\kappa Q^2}{\rho^5}\omega_\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{48\lambda\left(15Q^4 - 16MQ^2\rho^2 + 4M^2\rho^4\right)}{\rho^{11}}\omega_\mu\,,\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = -6r_+\rho^2\sigma_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{6.7}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_\mu$ is the Weyl covariant derivative and $\mathcal{D}_\alpha Q = \frac{2\pi T^2}{\sqrt{3}r_+^2(1+M)}\mathcal{D}_\alpha \bar{\mu}$. Using equations (5.10), (5.11), (5.32), (5.33) and (5.34) it is straightforward to find the first order transport coefficients shown at the end of section 4. We write the result again for completeness,

$$\eta = \frac{r_+^3}{16\pi G}\,, \qquad \sigma = \frac{\pi r_+^7 T^2}{16Gm^2}\,, \tag{6.8}$$

$$\xi_B = -\frac{\sqrt{3}q\left(m + 3r_+^4\right)\kappa}{8Gm\pi r_+^2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi q T^2\lambda}{Gm}\,, \qquad \xi_V = -\frac{3q^2\kappa}{4Gm\pi} + \frac{2\pi\left(2q^2 - r_+^6\right)T^2\lambda}{Gmr_+^2}\,. \tag{6.9}$$

Chiral magnetic ξ_B and vortical ξ_V conductivities have been computed at first order in holography within the Kubo formulae formalism in [38, 64–67], including chiral and gauge gravitational anomalies. Here we reproduce the same result within the fluid/gravity approach. [10]

Note that to compute the first order transport coefficients one needs only the terms $a_\mu^{(\bar{2},\epsilon)}$ and $W^{(\bar{4},\epsilon)}$ in the near boundary expansion. However, in order to go to the next order in the derivative expansion, we need to know the exact solutions, which can be written in terms of the sources as

$$W_{4\mu}^{(1)}(\rho) = F_1[\rho]P_\mu^\nu\mathcal{D}_\nu Q(x) + F_2[\rho]\omega_\mu(x) + F_3[\rho]\frac{E_\mu(x)}{r_+} + F_4[\rho]\frac{B_\mu(x)}{r_+}\,, \tag{6.10}$$

$$W_{5\mu\nu}^{(1)}(\rho) = F_5[\rho]\,r_+\,\sigma_{\mu\nu}(x)\,, \tag{6.11}$$

$$a_\mu^{(1)}(\rho) = F_6[\rho]P_\mu^\nu\mathcal{D}_\nu Q(x) + F_7[\rho]\omega_\mu(x) + F_8[\rho]\frac{E_\mu(x)}{r_+} + F_9[\rho]\frac{B_\mu(x)}{r_+}\,. \tag{6.12}$$

---

[10] The gauge gravitational anomaly contribution to the chiral vortical conductivity was also computed recently within an holographic setup in [30, 68].

We show in Appendix A the expressions for the F's functions. F_5 writes

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_5[\rho] = & -\frac{2\log[1+\rho]}{-1+M} - \frac{\left(1+\rho_2+\rho_2^2\right)\log[\rho-\rho_2]}{(1+\rho_2)\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)} + \frac{2\left(1+\rho_2^3\right)\log[\rho+\rho_2]}{-2-2\rho_2^2+4\rho_2^4} \\
& + \frac{\log\left[1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right]}{2+5\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^4} + \frac{2\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)^{3/2}}{2+5\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^4}\,\mathrm{ArcCot}\left[\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1+\rho_2^2}}\right]. \qquad (6.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Second Order Transport Coefficients

The second order coefficients are much more computationally demanding than the first order ones. The parameter $c^{(\bar{2},\epsilon)}$ in (5.10) can always be chosen to be zero, as it just redefines the charge and mass of the black hole. On the other hand, because we are working in the Landau frame, there is no contribution coming from the scalar sector to the energy-momentum tensor and $(W_2+W_3)^{(\bar{4},\epsilon)}$ is set to zero. We have checked that this is in fact what happens by using the sources for the scalar sector. So, we will focus in this section on the vector and tensor contributions.

7.1 Vector sector

The second order sources in the vector sector are shown in Appendix B.1. Again using these expressions and Eqs. (5.32), (5.33), (5.34) and (5.10), we can extract the second order transport coefficients. We show first the new non anomalous coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_5 &= \xi_{5,0}(\rho_2)\,, && (7.1)\\
\xi_6 &= \xi_{6,0}(\rho_2) + \frac{3\left(3+M^2\right)Q^2\kappa^2}{4\pi G M^3} + \kappa\lambda\xi_{6,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) + \lambda^2\xi_{6,\lambda^2}(\rho_2)\,, && (7.2)\\
\xi_7 &= \xi_{7,0}(\rho_2)\,, && (7.3)\\
\xi_8 &= -\frac{(9+12M+7M^2)\pi Q T^3}{128\sqrt{3}GM^4(1+M)r_+^3} + \kappa^2\xi_{8,\kappa^2}(\rho_2) + \kappa\lambda\xi_{8,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) + \lambda^2\xi_{8,\lambda^2}(\rho_2)\,, && (7.4)\\
\xi_9 &= \frac{Q\left(88+480Q^2M+169Q^6\right)}{512\sqrt{3}\pi G M^4 r_+} + \frac{1}{r_+}\left(\kappa^2\xi_{9,\kappa^2}(\rho_2)(\rho_2) + \kappa\lambda\xi_{9,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2)(\rho_2) + \lambda^2\xi_{9,\lambda^2}(\rho_2)(\rho_2)\right)\,, && (7.5)\\
\xi_{10} &= \frac{\left(4+7Q^2\right)}{64\pi G M} + \kappa^2\xi_{10,\kappa^2}(\rho_2) + \kappa\lambda\xi_{10,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) + \lambda^2\xi_{10,\lambda^2}(\rho_2)\,. && (7.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

These coefficients had not been computed previously in the literature. The rest of the non anomalous coefficients were obtained in the past without the gravitational anomaly. In this work we have found the $\lambda-$corrected results, which write

$$\xi_1 = \frac{\pi T^3}{8GM^3(M+1)r_+^2}\left(Q^2 + \frac{M^2}{(1+2\rho_2^2)}\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\right)\,, \tag{7.7}$$

$$\xi_2 = \frac{(3+M)(M(3+M)-6)T^2}{128GM^3(M+1)r_+} + \frac{3\pi Q^2 T^3\kappa^2}{GM^3(M+1)r_+^2} + r_+\left(\kappa\lambda\xi_{2,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) + \lambda^2\xi_{2,\lambda^2}(\rho_2)\right)\,, \tag{7.8}$$

$$\xi_3 = \frac{3\sqrt{3}Q^3 r_+}{64\pi GM^2}\,, \tag{7.9}$$

$$\xi_4 = \frac{3\sqrt{3}Q^3 r_+\kappa^2}{2\pi GM^2} + r_+\kappa\lambda\xi_{4,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) + r_+\lambda^2\xi_{4,\lambda^2}(\rho_2)\,. \tag{7.10}$$

In the anomalous sector, the new coefficients (not computed previously) write

$$\tilde{\xi}_2 = \frac{3\sqrt{3}Q^3\,(6+M)\,\kappa}{16\pi GM^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi Q T^2\kappa\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]}{2GMr_+^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3} + \lambda\tilde{\xi}_{2,\lambda}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.11}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi Q T^2}{8r_+^2 M^3 G}\left(Q^2\kappa + \frac{8\pi^2 T^2\lambda}{r_+^2}\right)\,, \tag{7.12}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_4 = \kappa\tilde{\xi}_{4,\kappa}(\rho_2) + \lambda\tilde{\xi}_{4,\lambda}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.13}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_5 = \kappa\tilde{\xi}_{5,\kappa}(\rho_2) + \lambda\tilde{\xi}_{5,\lambda}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.14}$$

while the already known coefficient with the new λ contribution writes

$$\tilde{\xi}_1 = \frac{3Q^2 r_+\kappa}{4\pi GM^2} + \lambda r_+\tilde{\xi}_{1,\lambda}(\rho_2)\,. \tag{7.15}$$

The $\xi_{i,(0,\kappa^2,\kappa\lambda,\lambda^2)}(\rho_2)$ and $\tilde{\xi}_{i,(\kappa,\lambda)}(\rho_2)$ functions are defined in Appendix C. These coefficients enter in the constitutive relation for the current through (4.6) and (4.16).

7.2 Tensor sector

The second order sources in the tensor sector are shown in Appendix B.2, and again we can extract the transport coefficients at this order after pluging these expressions into Eqs. (5.11) and (5.37). Due to the length of the expressions, some of them will be shown exactly and the rest are expressed in terms of some functions $\Lambda_{i,(\kappa^2,\kappa\lambda,\lambda^2)}(\rho_2)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_{i,(\kappa,\lambda)}(\rho_2)$ which are presented in the Appendix C. Again we split our results in those non anomalous coefficients which are new,

$$\Lambda_7 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}(-3+5M)Qr_+}{64\pi GM^2}\,, \tag{7.16}$$

$$\Lambda_8 = r_+\Lambda_{8,0}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.17}$$

$$\Lambda_9 = \Lambda_{9,0}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.18}$$

$$\Lambda_{10} = \frac{11}{96\pi G} + \kappa^2\Lambda_{10,\kappa^2}(\rho_2) + \kappa\lambda\Lambda_{10,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) + \lambda^2\Lambda_{10,\lambda^2}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.19}$$

$$\Lambda_{11} = -\frac{8\sqrt{3}Qr_+\kappa\lambda}{\pi G}\,, \tag{7.20}$$

$$\Lambda_{12} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}Qr_+}{16\pi G} + \frac{3\sqrt{3}Q^3 r_+\kappa^2}{\pi GM} + \kappa\lambda r_+\Lambda_{12,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) + \lambda^2 r_+\Lambda_{12,\lambda^2}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.21}$$

and the rest of the non anomalous ones

$$\Lambda_1 = \frac{r_+^2}{16\pi G}\left(2 + \frac{M}{\sqrt{4M-3}}\log\left[\frac{3-\sqrt{4M-3}}{3+\sqrt{4M-3}}\right]\right)\,, \tag{7.22}$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \frac{r_+^2}{8\pi G}\,, \tag{7.23}$$

$$\Lambda_3 = \frac{r_+^2}{8G\pi}\left(\frac{M}{2(1+2\rho_2^2)}\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right] + 192Q^2\kappa\lambda - \frac{384(3M-5)\pi T\lambda^2}{r_+}\right)\,, \tag{7.24}$$

$$\Lambda_4 = -\frac{Q^2 r_+^2}{16\pi G} + \frac{3Q^4 r_+^2\kappa^2}{\pi G M} + \frac{18Q^2\left(5+Q^2\left(9Q^2-16\right)\right)r_+^2\kappa\lambda}{5\pi G M} + \lambda^2 r_+^2\Lambda_{4,\lambda^2}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.25}$$

$$\Lambda_5 = -\frac{\pi Q T^3}{16\sqrt{3}GM^2(M+1)r_+}\,, \tag{7.26}$$

$$\Lambda_6 = r_+^2\Lambda_{6,0}(\rho_2)\,. \tag{7.27}$$

For the anomalous coefficients we get the new ones

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_4 = -\frac{3Q^2 r_+\kappa}{8\pi G M} - \frac{\pi T^2\lambda}{GMr_+}\,, \tag{7.28}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_5 = \kappa r_+\tilde{\Lambda}_{5,\kappa}(\rho_2) + \lambda r_+\tilde{\Lambda}_{5,\lambda}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.29}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_6 = \kappa\tilde{\Lambda}_{6,\kappa}(\rho_2) + \lambda\tilde{\Lambda}_{6,\lambda}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.30}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_7 = -\frac{2r_+\lambda}{G\pi}\,, \tag{7.31}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_8 = \frac{3Q^2\left(Q^2-1\right)r_+\kappa}{4\pi G M^2} + \lambda r_+\tilde{\Lambda}_{8,\lambda}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.32}$$

and the rest of the anomalous ones

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}r_+^2 Q^3\kappa}{4\pi G M} + \frac{\sqrt{3}Qr_+(3r_+ + (Q^2-4)\pi T)}{\pi G M}\lambda\,, \tag{7.33}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_2 = \lambda r_+^2\tilde{\Lambda}_{2,\lambda}(\rho_2)\,, \tag{7.34}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_3 = \frac{2T^2\lambda}{G(M+1)}\,. \tag{7.35}$$

These coefficients enter in the constitutive relation for the energy-momentum tensor through (4.5) and (4.15).

The transport coefficients $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_6$, $\tilde{\Lambda}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\Lambda}_3$ and $\xi_1 \ldots \xi_4$, $\tilde{\xi}_1$ have been computed in the past in [31, 32] without gravitational anomaly. It is interesting to remark that $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_5, \Lambda_6, \Lambda_7, \Lambda_8, \Lambda_9, \xi_1, \xi_3, \xi_5$ and ξ_7 do not receive $\lambda-$corrections, actually these coefficients do not depend on κ either. It is also remarkable that $\tilde{\Lambda}_2$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_3$ in the presence of gravitational anomaly are not vanishing. The rest of the transport coefficients we have computed are new.

7.3 Discussion of second order results

It would be interesting to compare our results with the predictions done in [60]. Basically the authors tried to fix the anomalous second order transport coefficients using a generalized version of the method developed by Son & Surowka [34]. The only issue is that they didn't consider the mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly and neglected all the integration constants as the previous authors. Nowadays we know that at least at first order these integration constants might be related to the anomalous parameter λ. The authors presented a set of algebraic and differential constraints. The algebraic ones are

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_1 = \frac{4\eta}{n}\left(\xi_V - TD_B\right) , \tag{7.36}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_4 = \frac{2\eta}{n}\left(\xi_B - \bar{\kappa}\mu\right) , \tag{7.37}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_3 = \frac{2\sigma}{n}\left(\xi_V - TD_B\right) , \tag{7.38}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_5 = 0 , \tag{7.39}$$

where $D_B = \frac{\kappa T}{4\pi G}\bar{\mu}^2$ is the coefficient multiplying the magnetic field in the entropy current computed in [34, 35, 60] with only pure gauge anomaly, and $\bar{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi G}$ is the anomalous parameter used by the authors of [60]. Eqs. (7.36) and (7.38) are satisfied by our solutions (7.33) and (7.12) as long as one fixes the anomaly parameter λ to zero. However Eq. (7.37) is satisfied with the gravitational anomaly switched on. So far these constraints are satisfied except Eq. (7.39), as $\tilde{\xi}_5$ is not vanishing in our model even though we fix the anomalous parameter to vanish.

To check the value we get for $\tilde{\xi}_5$ (4.42), we may proceed by using the Kubo formula formalism. The Kubo formula for $\tilde{\xi}_5$ will relate this coefficient to a two point function at second order in a frequency and momentum expansion. Actually it will appear in the same correlator as the chiral magnetic conductivity. To do so we can switch on a gauge field in the y direction $A_y = A_y(t, z)$. In such a situation the Fourier transformed source $\mathcal{J}^{(5)}_\mu$ reduces to

$$\mathcal{J}^{(5)x} = \omega k_z A_y , \tag{7.40}$$

so that using the constitutive relation we can read the two point function

$$\langle \mathcal{J}^x \mathcal{J}^y \rangle = -i\xi_B k_z + \sigma \tilde{l}_E \omega k_z , \tag{7.41}$$

where we have redefined $\tilde{l}_E = \tilde{\xi}_5/\sigma$ for the reason we will explain below. We have checked that this Kubo formula leads to our result (4.42) for $\tilde{l}_E$ by using the model of section 2 considered in the probe limit. This is a non trivial check of the non vanishing of $\tilde{\xi}_5$. We leave a detailed analysis of this and other Kubo formulae for a forthcoming paper [69].

In order to understand the discrepancy between this result and the prediction done by the authors of [60], we can analyze the properties under time reversal of the source associated to $\tilde{\xi}_5$, which reads in the constitutive relations as

$$J^\mu = \tilde{\xi}_5 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} u_\nu \mathcal{D}_\rho E_\lambda + \dots . \tag{7.42}$$

This equation in the local rest frame $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ looks like

$$\vec{J} = \tilde{\xi}_5 \nabla \times \vec{E} + \dots = -\tilde{\xi}_5 \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} + \dots . \tag{7.43}$$

The electric field and the operator $\nabla\times$ are even under time reversal while the current is odd, in consequence the conductivity $\tilde{\xi}_5$ is $\mathcal{T}-$odd. The fact that this transport coefficient is $\mathcal{T}-$odd tells us that such a source might contribute to the entropy production. For this reason demanding a non contribution to the production of entropy might not be well motivated. The situation would be similar as demanding a vanishing contribution from the usual electric conductivity. One can see also the odd property of $\tilde{\xi}_5$ from Eq. (7.41), as $\langle \mathcal{J}^x \mathcal{J}^y \rangle$ is $\mathcal{T}-$even and inverting the time is the same as changing $\omega \to -\omega$.

We have noticed that the anomalous coefficients associated to sources constructed with the second derivative of the fields can be naturally factorized as

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_1 = -2\eta \tilde{l}_\omega \,, \tag{7.44}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_4 = -2\eta \tilde{l}_B \,, \tag{7.45}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_5 = \sigma \tilde{l}_E \,. \tag{7.46}$$

These expressions make their dissipative nature clear, and they suggest the existence of anomalous relaxation lengths in analogy to the relaxation time τ_π. These new $\mathcal{T}-$even quantities write

$$\tilde{l}_\omega = \frac{2\pi}{Gp}\left(\frac{\kappa\mu^3}{48\pi^2} + 64\mu\lambda\left(3r_+^2 - 2\mu^2 - \frac{\pi T\mu^2}{r_+}\right)\right) \,, \tag{7.47}$$

$$\tilde{l}_B = \frac{1}{2\pi Gp}\left(\frac{\kappa\mu^2}{8} + \pi^2 T^2 \lambda\right) \,, \tag{7.48}$$

$$\tilde{l}_E = -\frac{8\bar{\mu}}{\pi^2 T}(\kappa \log 2 - 2\lambda(1 + 2\log 2)) + \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^3) \,. \tag{7.49}$$

A last interesting observation comes from the result on the dispersion relation of shear waves in [60], where they have found that

$$\omega \approx -i\frac{\eta}{4p}k^2 \mp iCk^3 + \dots \,, \tag{7.50}$$

with $C = -\tilde{\Lambda}_1/(8p)$. It would be interesting to generalize the computation of [70] to the case including the mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly to verify whether the result for C is

$$C = \frac{\eta}{4p}\tilde{l}_\omega \,. \tag{7.51}$$

8. Discussion and conclusions

We have studied the transport properties of a relativistic fluid affected by gauge and mixed gauge-gravitational anomalies. We have used a holographic bottom up model in 5 dim that implements both anomalies via gauge and mixed gauge-gravitational Chern-Simons terms. This model was used in [38] to compute the first order transport coefficients in holography from Kubo formulae. Within the fluid/gravity approach, in this work we have reproduced previous results at first order, and extended the computation up to second order in the hydrodynamical expansion. The computation has been performed in a Weyl covariant formalism, which allows us for a clear classification of terms contributing to second order.

We have found all the anomalous and non-anomalous transport coefficients of the model up to second order, except the ones associated to curvature sources. Most of the non-anomalous coefficients receive non trivial contributions coming from the anomaly sector through terms quadratic in the anomaly coefficients κ and λ. There is a set of coefficients which are not affected by the presence of the anomalies. These are

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= \Lambda_1 u^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\alpha \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_2 \sigma^{\langle\mu}{}_\gamma \sigma^{\nu\rangle\gamma} + \Lambda_5 \mathcal{D}^{\langle\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\nu\rangle}\bar{\mu} + \Lambda_6 \mathcal{D}^{\langle\mu}\bar{\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\nu\rangle}\bar{\mu} + \Lambda_7 \mathcal{D}^{\langle\mu}E^{\nu\rangle} \, , \\ &\quad + \Lambda_8 E^{\langle\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\nu\rangle}\bar{\mu} + \Lambda_9 E^{\langle\mu}E^{\nu\rangle} + \dots \, , \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

$$J^\mu = \xi_1 \sigma^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}_\nu \bar{\mu} + \xi_3 P^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}^\alpha \sigma_{\nu\alpha} + \xi_5 \sigma^{\mu\nu}E_\nu + \xi_7 u^\nu \mathcal{D}_\nu E^\mu + \dots \, . \tag{8.2}$$

In particular Λ_1 is usually redefined in term of the relaxation time τ_π, in analogy with the the Israel and Stewart theory, $\Lambda_1 = -2\eta\tau_\pi$.

On the other hand, we computed the anomalous coefficients which are $(C, P) = (\pm, -)$, i.e. these contributions are linear in κ or λ. These second order transport coefficients are dissipative unlike the first order ones, and in consequence some of them could contribute to entropy production. One example of that is the non vanishing value of $\tilde{\xi}_5$ (7.49). This coefficient was previously predicted to be zero by using non production of entropy arguments [60]. It would be interesting to compute within the present model the holographic entropy current and its divergence to study the contributions of such coefficients to the entropy production.

We have defined the $\mathcal{T}-$even quantities $\tilde{l}_E$, $\tilde{l}_B$ and $\tilde{l}_\omega$ in analogy with the definition of τ_π. A generalization of the Israel and Stewart theory to hydrodynamics with anomalies would give us a better physical intuition on these parameters. In particular the authors of [60] have noticed that the chiral coefficient C (7.50) in the dispersion relation of shear waves is related to $\tilde{\Lambda}_1$, and in consequence to $\tilde{l}_\omega$,

$$\omega \approx -i\frac{\eta}{4p}k^2\left(1 \pm \tilde{l}_\omega k\right) \, . \tag{8.3}$$

The role played by the gravitational anomaly in the chiral vortical effect has shown up for the first time in the calculations of Kubo formulae involving the two point functions firstly derived in [71]. It is possible to write new Kubo formulae in terms of two point functions in order to compute the second order transport coefficients associated to second derivatives of the background fields. The rest of the transport coefficients at second order would demand the knowledge of three point functions. The advantage of Kubo formulae is that they can be used in either field theory or holographic computations. This would allow to compare the weak and strong coupling regimes of second order coefficients [69].

A. First order solutions

Here we show the exact form of the $F_i[\rho]$ functions defined in Eqs. (6.10) and (6.12)

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1[\rho] \;=\; & -Q\left(\frac{\left(9Q^6\rho^2+2M^2\rho^3(-4M+3(1+M)\rho)-27Q^4\left(1+M-\rho^2\right)+6Q^2\rho\left(4M^2+3\rho-6M\rho^4\right)\right)\rho_2\left(2+5\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^4\right)}{8M^2\rho^6\rho_2\left(-1+\rho_2^2\right)\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{-6\pi Q\left(-1+\rho^2\right)\left(\rho^2-\rho_2^2\right)\left(-1+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right)\left(2+5\rho_2^2+7\rho_2^4+5\rho_2^6+2\rho_2^8\right)}{8M^2\rho^6\rho_2\left(-1+\rho_2^2\right)\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\right)\\
&-\frac{3\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\rho_2\left(-2-3\rho_2^2+3\rho_2^6+2\rho_2^8\right)\mathrm{ArcTan}\left[\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1+\rho_2^2}}\right]}{2M\rho^6\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}+\frac{3(1+M)Q\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\mathrm{Log}[1+\rho]}{2M\left(-2+Q^2\right)^2\rho^6}\\
&-\frac{3Q\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)(-1+\rho_2)\rho_2\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho-\rho_2]}{4\rho^6(1+\rho_2)^2(1+(-1+\rho_2)\rho_2)\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}-\frac{3Q\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\rho_2(1+\rho_2)\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho+\rho_2]}{4\rho^6(-1+\rho_2)^2\left(1+\rho_2+\rho_2^2\right)\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\\
&+\frac{3Q\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\left(-2-\rho_2^2+M\rho_2^4\right)\mathrm{Log}\left[1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right]}{4M\rho^6\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2[\rho] \;=\; & \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa\rho_2^6\left(1+3\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^4\right)^2}{Q\rho^6\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(Q^2+\rho_2^6\right)}-2\sqrt{3}\lambda\left(\frac{12M\rho_2^6\left(1+3\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^4\right)^2-2Q^2\rho^2\rho_2^2\left(1+3\rho_2^2+3\rho_2^4+2\rho_2^6\right)^2}{Q\rho^{10}\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(Q^2+\rho_2^6\right)}\right.\\
&+\frac{+6\rho^6\left(Q^2+\rho_2^6\right)^2\left(1+3\rho_2^2+4\rho_2^4+2\rho_2^6\right)+4M^2\rho^8\rho_2^2\left(2+7\rho_2^2+9\rho_2^4+4\rho_2^6+2\rho_2^8\right)}{Q\rho^{10}\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(Q^2+\rho_2^6\right)}\\
&\left.+\frac{-2\rho^4\rho_2^2\left(4+Q^2\left(28+Q^2\left(60+\left(43+34Q^2\right)\rho_2^2\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)\right)\right)\right)}{Q\rho^{10}\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(Q^2+\rho_2^6\right)}\right)+\frac{32\sqrt{3}M^2\lambda\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho]}{Q^3\rho^6}\\
&-\frac{8\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\left(2+12\rho_2^2+27\rho_2^4+35\rho_2^6+27\rho_2^8+12\rho_2^{10}+2\rho_2^{12}\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho-\rho_2]}{\rho^6\rho_2^4\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\\
&-\frac{8\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\left(2+12\rho_2^2+27\rho_2^4+35\rho_2^6+27\rho_2^8+12\rho_2^{10}+2\rho_2^{12}\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho+\rho_2]}{\rho^6\rho_2^4\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\\
&+\frac{8\sqrt{3}\lambda\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\rho_2\left(-1-3\rho_2^2-6\rho_2^4-7\rho_2^6-3\rho_2^8+2\rho_2^{12}\right)\mathrm{Log}\left[1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right]}{\rho^6\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)^{3/2}\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_3[\rho] \;=\; & \sqrt{3}\left(\frac{9Q^5+2MQ\rho^4\left(-M+2\rho\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2\right)}{8M^2\rho^6\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2}\right.\\
&\left.-\frac{\left(\rho_2+\rho_2^3\right)\left(3MQ\rho^2\rho_2\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)-2\pi\left(-1+\rho^2\right)\left(\rho^2-\rho_2^2\right)\left(1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right)\left(1+4\rho_2^2+6\rho_2^4+5\rho_2^6+2\rho_2^8\right)\right)}{8M^2\rho^6\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2}\right)\\
&\frac{\sqrt{3}\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\rho_2\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)^2\mathrm{ArcTan}\left[\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1+\rho_2^2}}\right]}{2M\rho^6\left(2+5\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^4\right)}+\frac{\sqrt{3}Q\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\mathrm{Log}[1+\rho]}{2\rho^6\left(-2-\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^6+\rho_2^8\right)}\\
&+\frac{\sqrt{3}Q\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\rho_2^2(4+\rho_2(-1+4\rho_2))\mathrm{Log}[\rho-\rho_2]}{4\rho^6\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3\left(1+\rho_2^3\right)}-\frac{\sqrt{3}Q\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\rho_2^2\left(4+\rho_2+4\rho_2^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho+\rho_2]}{4\rho^6\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3\left(-1+\rho_2^3\right)}\\
&+\frac{\sqrt{3}Q^3\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\left(-1+\rho_2^2\right)^2\mathrm{Log}\left[1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right]}{4\rho^6\rho_2^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3\left(2+3\rho_2^2+3\rho_2^4+\rho_2^6\right)}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_4[\rho] \;=\; & \frac{\kappa\left(+9Q^4\rho^2-3MQ^2\rho^4+6MQ^2\rho^6\right)}{M\rho^8\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2}-\lambda\left(\frac{-120Q^2\rho^2-180Q^4\rho^2+18MQ^4\rho^4+72MQ^2\rho^6}{M\rho^8\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2}\right.\\
& \left.+\;\frac{+2Q^6\rho^2\left(-67+6\rho^4\right)+4\left(-5\rho^2+3\rho^6+6\left(\rho_2+2\rho_2^3\right)^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^4+\rho_2^6\right)\right)}{M\rho^8\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2}\right)\\
& +\frac{24M\lambda\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho]}{Q^2\rho^6}+\frac{6Q^2\kappa f[\rho]\mathrm{Log}[\rho-\rho_2]}{\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}-\frac{12\lambda f[\rho]\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)\left(1+5\rho_2^2+9\rho_2^4+5\rho_2^6+\rho_2^8\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho-\rho_2]}{\rho_2^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\\
& +\frac{6\kappa f[\rho]Q^2\mathrm{Log}[\rho+\rho_2]}{\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}-\frac{12\lambda f[\rho]Q^2\left(1+5\rho_2^2+9\rho_2^4+5\rho_2^6+\rho_2^8\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho+\rho_2]}{\rho_2^4\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\\
& -\frac{6Q^2\kappa f[\rho]\mathrm{Log}\left[1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right]}{\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}+\frac{12\lambda f[\rho]\rho_2^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2-\rho_2^6+\rho_2^8\right)\mathrm{Log}\left[1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right]}{\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.4}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_6[\rho] \;=\; & \sqrt{3}Q\left(\frac{3Q\rho_2\left(2+5\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^4\right)\left(-8M^2\rho+9(1+M)\rho_2^2+9(1+M)\rho_2^4\right)}{8M^2\rho^2\rho_2\left(-1+\rho_2^2\right)\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\right.\\
& \left.+\;\frac{2\pi\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\left(-1+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(2+5\rho_2^2+7\rho_2^4+5\rho_2^6+2\rho_2^8\right)}{8M^2\rho^2\rho_2\left(-1+\rho_2^2\right)\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\right)\\
& +\frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\left(-2-3\rho_2^2+3\rho_2^6+2\rho_2^8\right)\mathrm{ArcTan}\left[\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1+\rho_2^2}}\right]}{2M\rho^2\sqrt{1+\rho_2^2}\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}+\frac{\sqrt{3}(1+M)\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[1+\rho]}{2M\left(-2+Q^2\right)^2\rho^2}\\
& +\frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)(-1+\rho_2)\rho_2\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho-\rho_2]}{4\rho^2(1+\rho_2)^2(1+(-1+\rho_2)\rho_2)\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}+\frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\rho_2(1+\rho_2)\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho+\rho_2]}{4\rho^2(-1+\rho_2)^2\left(1+\rho_2+\rho_2^2\right)\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\\
& +\frac{\sqrt{3}\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\left(-2-\rho_2^2+\rho_2^4+\rho_2^6+\rho_2^8\right)\mathrm{Log}\left[1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right]}{4M\rho^2\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.5}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_7[\rho] \;=\; & \frac{6\kappa\rho_2^4\left(1+3\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^4\right)^2}{M\rho^2\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)\left(\rho_2+2\rho_2^3\right)^2}+\lambda\left(\frac{4Q^4\left(120+77Q^2+86Q^4\right)\rho^4-4\left(-8\rho^4+9M\rho_2^4\left(1+3\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^4\right)^2\right)}{M\rho^6\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)\left(\rho_2+2\rho_2^3\right)^2}\right.\\
& \left.-\frac{+8Q^2\rho^2\left(-28\rho^2+3\left(1+3\rho_2^2+3\rho_2^4+2\rho_2^6\right)^2\right)}{M\rho^6\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)\left(\rho_2+2\rho_2^3\right)^2}\right)+\frac{32M^2\lambda\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho]}{Q^4\rho^2}\\
& -\frac{8\lambda\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\left(2+12\rho_2^2+27\rho_2^4+35\rho_2^6+27\rho_2^8+12\rho_2^{10}+2\rho_2^{12}\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho-\rho_2]}{\rho^2\rho_2^4\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\\
& -\frac{8\lambda\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\left(2+12\rho_2^2+27\rho_2^4+35\rho_2^6+27\rho_2^8+12\rho_2^{10}+2\rho_2^{12}\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho+\rho_2]}{\rho^2\rho_2^4\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}\\
& -\frac{8\lambda\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\left(-1-3\rho_2^2-6\rho_2^4-7\rho_2^6-3\rho_2^8+2\rho_2^{12}\right)\mathrm{Log}\left[1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right]}{\rho^2\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.6}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_8[\rho] \;=\; & \frac{\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)\left(27\rho_2^5\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)+2\pi Q\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\left(1+3\rho_2^2+3\rho_2^4+2\rho_2^6\right)\right)}{8M^2\rho^2\rho_2\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2}\\
& +\frac{Q^3\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\mathrm{ArcTan}\left[\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1+\rho_2^2}}\right]}{2\rho^2\rho_2^3\left(2+7\rho_2^2+9\rho_2^4+7\rho_2^6+2\rho_2^8\right)}+\frac{\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[1+\rho]}{2\rho^2\left(-2-\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^6+\rho_2^8\right)}\\
& +\frac{\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\rho_2^2(4+\rho_2(-1+4\rho_2))\mathrm{Log}[\rho-\rho_2]}{4\rho^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3\left(1+\rho_2^3\right)}+\frac{\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\rho_2^2\left(4+\rho_2+4\rho_2^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho+\rho_2]}{4\rho^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3\left(-1+\rho_2^3\right)}\\
& \frac{\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\left(-1+\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)\mathrm{Log}\left[1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right]}{4M\rho^2\left(2+\rho_2^2\right)\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.7}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_9[\rho] \;=\; & \frac{9\sqrt{3}Q^3\kappa\rho_2^2}{\rho^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(Q^2+\rho_2^6\right)}+\frac{2\sqrt{3}\lambda\rho_2^2\left(\left(4+24Q^2+36Q^4+43Q^6\right)\rho^2-6\left(\rho_2+2\rho_2^3\right)^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2+2\rho_2^4+\rho_2^6\right)\right)}{Q\rho^4\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^2\left(Q^2+\rho_2^6\right)} \\
& +\frac{2\sqrt{3}Q\kappa\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho-\rho_2]}{\rho^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}-\frac{4\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\left(1+5\rho_2^2+9\rho_2^4+5\rho_2^6+\rho_2^8\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho-\rho_2]}{\rho^2\rho_2^4\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3} \\
& +\frac{2\sqrt{3}Q\kappa\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho+\rho_2]}{\rho^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}-\frac{4\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\left(1+5\rho_2^2+9\rho_2^4+5\rho_2^6+\rho_2^8\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho+\rho_2]}{\rho^2\rho_2^4\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3} \\
& -\frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\rho_2\sqrt{1+\rho_2^2}\,\mathrm{Log}\left[1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right]}{\rho^2\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3}+\frac{4\sqrt{3}\lambda\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\rho_2\left(1+2\rho_2^2-\rho_2^6+\rho_2^8\right)\mathrm{Log}\left[1+\rho^2+\rho_2^2\right]}{\rho^2\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)^{3/2}\left(1+2\rho_2^2\right)^3} \\
& -\frac{8\sqrt{3}M\lambda\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\mathrm{Log}[\rho]}{\rho^2\rho_2^3\left(1+\rho_2^2\right)^{3/2}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.8}
$$

B. Second Order Sources

In this appendix we show the second order sources in the vector and tensor sector. The are splitted in terms of the anomalous and non anomalous ones.

B.1 Second Order Vector Sources

In the vector sector the sources are organized as follow

$$
\mathbb{J}_\mu=\sum_{a=1}^{10} r_a^{(E)}\mathcal{J}_\mu^{(a)}+\sum_{a=1}^{5}\tilde{r}_a^{(E)}\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\mu^{(a)} \qquad , \qquad \mathbb{A}_\mu=\sum_{a=1}^{10} r_a^{(M)}\mathcal{J}_\mu^{(a)}+\sum_{a=1}^{5}\tilde{r}_a^{(M)}\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\mu^{(a)}\,, \tag{B.1}
$$

where the tildes refer to the anomalous sector. The sources write:

B.1.1 Non-anomalous vector sources

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+^3 r_1^{(E)} \;=\; & \frac{2\pi QT^2(-1+\rho)^2\left(-\rho^2(1+\rho(2+3\rho))+Q^2(3+2\rho(3+2\rho(2+\rho)))\right)}{\sqrt{3}M(1+M)\rho^8 f[\rho]^2}+\frac{2\pi T^2\rho^3\partial_Q F_5'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)}-\frac{4\pi T^2(-1+\rho)\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)F_1'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)f[\rho]} \\
& \frac{4\pi T^2(-1+\rho)^2\left(Q^2(6+\rho(12+7\rho(2+\rho)))-\rho^2(4+\rho(8+3\rho(4+\rho(3+\rho(2+\rho)))))\right)F_1[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)\rho^7 f[\rho]^2}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+^3 r_2^{(E)} \;=\; & -\frac{64\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda^2\left(-9Q^4\left(242+131Q^2\right)+MQ^2\left(2654+1603Q^2\right)\rho^2-4M^2\left(146+127Q^2\right)\rho^4\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^{17}f[\rho]} \\
& -\frac{64\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda^2\left(3\left(16-498Q^2-225Q^4+16Q^6\right)\rho^6+220\left(2+3Q^2+Q^4\right)\rho^8\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^{17}f[\rho]}-\frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\rho^2F_1[\rho]}{(1+M)}-\frac{4\pi T^2\rho^3F_1'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)} \\
& -\frac{768\sqrt{3}\pi Q^3T^2\kappa\lambda\left(-3+\rho^2+Q^2\left(-2+\rho^2\right)\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^{11}f[\rho]}+\frac{64\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda\left(\rho^2+Q^2\left(-5+\rho^2\right)\right)\partial_Q F_7[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^5}-\frac{16\pi QT^2\lambda\partial_Q F_2'[\rho]}{(1+M)} \\
& +\frac{48\pi T^2\lambda\left(2\rho^2-2\rho^6+Q^4\left(-5+3\rho^2\right)-Q^2\left(8-5\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\right)F_2'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^6 f[\rho]}+\frac{2\pi QT^2F_6'[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho}-\frac{16\pi QT^2\lambda\rho\partial_Q F_2''[\rho]}{(1+M)} \\
& +\frac{8\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda\left(-3Q^2\left(2+Q^2\right)+M\left(18+7Q^2\right)\rho^2-3\left(14+9Q^2\right)\rho^6+8M\rho^8\right)F_7'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^{10}f[\rho]}-\frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda\left(-5Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\partial_Q F_7'[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^4}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.3}
$$

$$
r_+ r_3^{(E)} \;=\; \rho^3 F_5'(\rho)\,, \tag{B.4}
$$

$$
r_+ r_4^{(E)} \;=\; -\frac{24192Q^4\lambda^2-7680MQ^2\lambda^2\rho^2+\rho^{12}}{\rho^{11}}+\frac{96\lambda\left(\rho^2+Q^2\left(-5+\rho^2\right)\right)F_7[\rho]}{\rho^5}-\frac{24\lambda\left(-7Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)F_7'[\rho]}{\rho^4}+32\sqrt{3}Q\lambda F_2'[\rho]\,, \tag{B.5}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+^2 r_5^{(E)} \;=\; & \frac{\sqrt{3}Q(-1+\rho)^2\left(\rho^2(1+\rho(2+3\rho))-Q^2(3+2\rho(3+2\rho(2+\rho)))\right)}{M\rho^8 f[\rho]^2}-\frac{2(-1+\rho)\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)F_3'[\rho]}{f[\rho]}-\frac{2(-1+\rho)\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)F_3'[\rho]}{f[\rho]} \\
& +\frac{2Q^2(-1+\rho)^2(6+\rho(12+7\rho(2+\rho)))F_3[\rho]}{\rho^7 f[\rho]^2}-\frac{2\rho^2(-1+\rho)^2(4+\rho(8+3\rho(4+\rho(3+\rho(2+\rho)))))F_3[\rho]}{\rho^7 f[\rho]^2}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.6}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+^2 r_6^{(E)} \;=\; & -\frac{96\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(-999Q^6\lambda+1813MQ^4\lambda\rho^2-978MQ^2\lambda\rho^4+2MQ^2(4\kappa+341\lambda)\rho^8-120M^2\lambda\rho^{10}\right)}{M\rho^{17}f[\rho]}-2\rho^3F_3'[\rho]+\frac{\sqrt{3}QF_8'[\rho]}{\rho}\\
& -\frac{96\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(\left(152\lambda+456Q^2\lambda+152Q^6\lambda-3Q^4(4\kappa+121\lambda)\right)\rho^6\right)}{M\rho^{17}f[\rho]}-\frac{24\lambda\left(9Q^4-8MQ^2\rho^2+M^2\rho^4+3Q^2\rho^6-M\rho^8\right)F_2'[\rho]}{M\rho^6f[\rho]}\\
& +\frac{4\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(9Q^4-19MQ^2\rho^2+6M^2\rho^4+45Q^2\rho^6-22M\rho^8\right)F_7'[\rho]}{M(-1+\rho)\rho^4(1+\rho)\left(Q^2-\rho^2\left(1+\rho^2\right)\right)}-3\rho^2F_3[\rho]\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.7}
$$

$$
r_7^{(E)} \;=\; 0\,, \tag{B.8}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+^4 r_8^{(E)} \;=\; & -\frac{384\pi T^2\lambda\left(5Q^4\left(14+Q^2\right)\lambda-4MQ^2\left(22+Q^2\right)\lambda\rho^2-M^2\left(-18+Q^2\right)\lambda\rho^4\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^{15}f[\rho]}-\frac{32\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda\left(\rho^2+Q^2\left(-5+\rho^2\right)\right)F_9[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^5}\\
& -\frac{384\pi T^2\lambda\left(Q^2\left(\left(6+4Q^2\right)\kappa+5\left(14+Q^2\right)\lambda\right)\rho^6+M\left(-18\lambda+Q^2(-2\kappa+\lambda)\right)\rho^8\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^{15}f[\rho]}-\frac{64\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda\left(\rho^2+Q^2\left(-5+\rho^2\right)\right)\partial_QF_9[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^5}\\
& -\frac{16\pi T^2\lambda\left(-Q^2\left(24+13Q^2\right)+M\left(6+7Q^2\right)\rho^2-\left(6+Q^2\right)\rho^6\right)F_4'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^6f[\rho]}\\
& -\frac{64\pi QT^2\lambda\partial_QF_4'[\rho]}{(1+M)}-\frac{2\pi T^2\rho F_6'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)}+\frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda\left(-7Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\partial_QF_9'[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^4}-\frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi Q^3T^2\lambda\left(-1+4\rho^2+2\rho^4-8\rho^6+3\rho^8\right)F_9'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^{10}f[\rho]}\\
& -\frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda\left(7\rho^2+\rho^4-19\rho^6+3\rho^8+Q^4\left(4-3\rho^2+\rho^4\right)\right)F_9'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^{10}f[\rho]}\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+^3 r_9^{(E)} \;=\; & -\frac{48\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(\rho^2+Q^2\left(-5+\rho^2\right)\right)F_9[\rho]}{M\rho^5}+\rho F_8'[\rho]-\frac{24\lambda\left(\rho^4-\rho^8-Q^2\rho^2\left(-5+\rho^2\right)\left(-2+\rho^4\right)+Q^4\left(11-10\rho^2+\rho^4\right)\right)F_4'[\rho]}{M\rho^6f[\rho]}\\
& -\frac{8\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(15Q^4+2M\rho^4\left(3M-7\rho^4\right)+Q^2\left(-23M\rho^2+33\rho^6\right)\right)F_9'[\rho]}{M\rho^{10}f[\rho]}
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.9}
$$

$$
r_+^2 r_{10}^{(E)} \qquad \frac{8\sqrt{3}\lambda^2\left(-480Q^3+96Q\rho^2+96Q^3\rho^2\right)}{\rho^9}+\frac{96\lambda\left(\rho^6+Q^2\rho^4\left(-5+\rho^2\right)\right)F_9[\rho]}{\rho^9}+32\sqrt{3}Q\lambda F_4'[\rho]+\frac{8\lambda\left(21Q^2\rho^5-6\rho^7-6Q^2\rho^7\right)F_9'[\rho]}{\rho^9}\,,
\tag{B.10}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+^3 r_1^{(M)} \;=\; & \frac{\pi T^2(-1+\rho)\left(8\rho^2\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)+Q^4\left(3-\rho\left(-3+4\rho(1+2\rho)^2\right)\right)\right)}{2M^2(1+M)\rho^8f[\rho]}+\frac{\pi T^2Q^2(-1+\rho)\left(12+\rho\left(12+\rho\left(13+\rho+\rho^2+9\rho^3\right)\right)\right)}{2M^2(1+M)\rho^8f[\rho]}\\
& +\frac{4\pi T^2F_6'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)\rho^2}-\frac{8\pi QT^2(-1+\rho)\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)F_1[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^5f[\rho]}-\frac{3\pi QT^2\rho^3f[\rho]\partial_QF_5'[\rho]}{2M(1+M)}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.11}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+^3 r_2^{(M)} \;=\; & \frac{\pi T^2\left(Q^6\left(-3+4\rho^2\right)+8\left(\rho^4+\rho^6\right)+Q^4\left(6+\rho^2\left(-1+\rho\left(-3-\rho+8\rho^3\right)\right)\right)\right)}{4M^2(1+M)\rho^5\left(Q^2-\rho^2\left(1+\rho^2\right)\right)}\\
& +\frac{\pi T^2Q^2\rho^2(14+\rho(12+\rho(2+\rho(9+\rho(-16+9\rho)))))}{4M^2(1+M)\rho^5\left(Q^2-\rho^2\left(1+\rho^2\right)\right)}-\frac{4\pi QT^2F_1[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^2}-\frac{32\pi QT^2\kappa\partial_QF_7[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^3}+\frac{\pi QT^2\left(3Q^2-7M\rho^2+3\rho^6\right)F_1'[\rho]}{2M(1+M)\rho^3}+\\
& \frac{24\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda\left(-4-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)F_2'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^4}-\frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda\left(-5Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\partial_QF_2'[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^4}-\frac{3\pi QT^2\rho^3f[\rho]\partial_QF_5'[\rho]}{4M(1+M)}-\frac{3\sqrt{3}\pi Q^2T^2f[\rho]F_6'[\rho]}{2M(1+M)\rho}\\
& +\frac{8\pi T^2\left(-6Q^2\left(4+3Q^2\right)\lambda+12MQ^2\lambda\rho^2-\left(2+Q^2\right)\kappa\rho^6\right)F_7'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^8}+\frac{384\pi Q^2T^2\lambda^2\left(-4-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\left(-63Q^2+20M\rho^2\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^{15}}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.12}
$$

$$
r_+ r_3^{(M)} \;=\; -\frac{3\sqrt{3}Q}{2M\rho^2}\,, \tag{B.13}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+ r_4^{(M)} \;=\; & -\frac{\sqrt{3}Q\left(\rho^{12}-3840\lambda^2\rho^4\left(-1+\rho^4\right)\right)}{\rho^{15}}-\frac{48\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(-5Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)F_7[1]}{\rho^9}+\frac{16\sqrt{3}Q\left(-15Q^2\lambda+6M\lambda\rho^2-\kappa\rho^6\right)F_7[\rho]}{\rho^9}\\
& \frac{24\lambda\left(5Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)F_2'[1]}{\rho^9}-\frac{\sqrt{3}Q\left(384Q^2\lambda^2\rho^2\left(-46+20\rho^2+25\rho^4+\rho^6\right)+192Q^4\lambda^2\left(105-92\rho^2+20\rho^4-55\rho^6+22\rho^8\right)\right)}{\rho^{15}}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.14}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+^2 r_5^{(M)} \;=\; & -\frac{(-1+\rho)\left(8\rho^4\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)+Q^4\left(-27+\rho\left(-27+4\rho\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)\left(-9+2\rho^2\right)\right)\right)\right)}{4M^2\rho^8f[\rho]}-F_8[\rho]-\frac{2\left(-1+\rho^3\right)F_8'[\rho]}{\rho^2}\\
& -\frac{(-1+\rho)\left(Q^2\rho^2(-9+\rho(-9+\rho(7+\rho(43+16\rho))))\right)}{4M^2\rho^8f[\rho]}-\frac{4\sqrt{3}Q(-1+\rho)\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)F_3[\rho]}{\rho^5f[\rho]}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.15}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+^2 r_6^{(M)} \;=\;& \frac{3Q^2\left(512M\lambda^2\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\left(-63Q^2+20M\rho^2\right)+9Q^2\rho^{10}-6M\rho^{12}-9\rho^{13}\right)}{8\;M^2\rho^{15}}-\frac{3\sqrt{3}QF_3'[1]}{8M\rho^2}-\frac{9Q^2F_8[1]}{4M\rho^2}\\
&-\frac{2\sqrt{3}QF_3[\rho]}{\rho^2}-\frac{1}{2}F_8[\rho]+\frac{12\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)F_2'[\rho]}{M\rho^4}+\frac{\sqrt{3}Q\left(3Q^2-7M\rho^2+3\rho^6\right)F_3'[\rho]}{4M\rho^3}\\
&+\frac{4\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\left(6Q^2\lambda+\kappa\rho^6\right)F_7'[\rho]}{M\rho^8}+\frac{\left(-4\rho^8+9Q^4\left(-1+\rho^2\right)+Q^2\rho^2\left(9-9\rho^4-4\rho^6\right)\right)F_8'[\rho]}{4M\rho^7}\,, \qquad \text{(B.16)}\\
r_7^{(M)} \;=\;& -\frac{3\sqrt{3}QF_3'[1]}{4\rho^2}-\frac{9Q^2F_8[1]}{2M\rho^2}-F_8[\rho]-2\rho F_8'[\rho]\,, \qquad \text{(B.17)}\\
r_+^4 r_8^{(M)} \;=\;& -\frac{3\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\left(\pi T\rho^{10}+512r_+\lambda^2\left(35Q^4+15Q^6+2M^2\rho^2\left(-2+M\rho^2\right)+Q^2\left(20-13M^2\rho^2\right)\right)\right)}{2r_+M^2(1+M)\rho^{13}}+\frac{\pi T^2\left(9Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)F_1[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}\mathrm{b}0^4M(1+M)\rho^2}\\
&+\frac{16\pi Q^2T^2\kappa F_9[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^3}+\frac{32\pi QT^2\kappa\partial_QF_9[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^3}+\frac{2\pi T^2\rho F_1'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)}-\frac{32\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda\left(-3+\rho^2+Q^2\left(-1+\rho^2\right)\right)F_4'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^4}\\
&+\frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda\left(-5Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\partial_QF_4'[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^4}+\frac{3\pi QT^2\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)F_6'[\rho]}{2M(1+M)\rho^5}\,, \qquad \text{(B.18)}\\
r_+^3 r_9^{(M)} \;=\;& \frac{3\sqrt{3}Q\left(1024M\lambda^2\left(15Q^4-13MQ^2\rho^2+2M^2\rho^4\right)+9Q^2\rho^{10}-2M\rho^{12}\right)}{8M^2\rho^{13}}-\rho F_3'[\rho]-\frac{\left(9Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)F_3[\rho]}{2M\rho^2}+\frac{24Q^2\kappa F_9[\rho]}{M\rho^3}\\
&+\frac{48\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(\rho^2+Q^2\left(-2+\rho^2\right)\right)F_4'[\rho]}{M\rho^4}-\frac{3\sqrt{3}Q\rho f[\rho]F_8'[\rho]}{4M}+\frac{4\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\left(6Q^2\lambda+\kappa\rho^6\right)F_9'[\rho]}{M\rho^8}\,, \qquad \text{(B.19)}\\
r_+^2 r_{10}^{(M)} \;=\;& -\frac{1}{\rho}-\frac{16\sqrt{3}Q\kappa F_9[\rho]}{\rho^3}-\frac{24\lambda\left(2\rho^2+Q^2\left(-5+2\rho^2\right)\right)F_4'[\rho]}{\rho^4}\,, \qquad \text{(B.20)}
\end{aligned}
$$

B.1.2 Anomalous vector sources

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_+\tilde{r}_1^{(E)} \;=\;& \frac{16\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(2\rho^2(-3+\rho(-3+\rho(-3+2\rho)))+Q^2(17+\rho(17+\rho(11-10\rho(1+\rho))))\right)}{\rho^5(1+\rho)\left(-Q^2+\rho^2+\rho^4\right)}-\frac{16\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(-63Q^2+20M\rho^2\right)F_5[\rho]}{\rho^7}\\
&-\frac{2\rho^5\left(-Q^2(6+\rho(12+7\rho(2+\rho)))+\rho^2(4+\rho(8+3\rho(4+\rho(3+\rho(2+\rho)))))\right)F_2[\rho]}{(1+\rho)^2\left(-Q^2+\rho^2+\rho^4\right)^2}-\frac{2(-1+\rho)\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)F_2'[\rho]}{f[\rho]}\,, \qquad \text{(B.21)}\\
r_+^2\tilde{r}_2^{(E)} \;=\;& -\frac{48\lambda\left(\rho^2+\rho^3+\rho^4-\rho^5+Q^2(-4+\rho(-4+\rho(-3+2\rho(1+\rho))))\right)}{\rho^3(1+\rho)\left(-Q^2+\rho^2+\rho^4\right)}-\frac{96\lambda\left(\rho^2+Q^2\left(-5+\rho^2\right)\right)F_5[\rho]}{\rho^5}\\
&-\frac{2\rho^5\left(-Q^2(6+\rho(12+7\rho(2+\rho)))+\rho^2(4+\rho(8+3\rho(4+\rho(3+\rho(2+\rho)))))\right)F_4[\rho]}{(1+\rho)^2\left(-Q^2+\rho^2+\rho^4\right)^2}-\frac{2(-1+\rho)\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)F_4'[\rho]}{f[\rho]}\,, \qquad \text{(B.22)}\\
r_+^2\tilde{r}_3^{(E)} \;=\;& \frac{-120Q^2\lambda+\frac{\sqrt{3}QF_9'[\rho]}{\rho}+48\lambda\rho^2+48Q^2\lambda\rho^2}{\rho^6}-3\rho^2F_4[\rho]-2\rho^3F_4'[\rho]-\rho F_7'[\rho]\,, \qquad \text{(B.23)}\\
r_+^4\tilde{r}_4^{(E)} \;=\;& -\frac{8\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda\left(2\rho^2\left(-5+\rho^2\right)+Q^2\left(-21+7\rho^2+2\rho^4\right)\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^8f[\rho]}-\frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda\left(9Q^4-8MQ^2\rho^2+M^2\rho^4+3Q^2\rho^6-M\rho^8\right)F_1'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^6f[\rho]}\\
&-\frac{64\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda\left(\rho^2+Q^2\left(-5+\rho^2\right)\right)\partial_QF_8[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^5}-\frac{32\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda\left(\rho^2+Q^2\left(-5+\rho^2\right)\right)F_8[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^5}-\frac{64\pi QT^2\lambda\partial_QF_3'[\rho]}{(1+M)}\\
&+\frac{16\pi T^2\lambda\left(Q^4\left(13-7\rho^2\right)+6\rho^2\left(-1+\rho^4\right)+Q^2\left(24-13\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\right)F_3'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^6f[\rho]}+\frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda\left(-7Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\partial_QF_8'[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^4}\\
&-\frac{8\pi QT^2\lambda\left(9Q^4-19MQ^2\rho^2+6M^2\rho^4+45Q^2\rho^6-22M\rho^8\right)F_6'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^{10}f[\rho]}-\frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi Q^3T^2\lambda\left(-1+4\rho^2+2\rho^4-8\rho^6+3\rho^8\right)F_8'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^{10}f[\rho]}\\
&-\frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda\left(7\rho^2+\rho^4-19\rho^6+3\rho^8+Q^4\left(4-3\rho^2+\rho^4\right)\right)F_8'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^{10}f[\rho]}\,, \qquad \text{(B.24)}\\
r_+^2\tilde{r}_5^{(E)} \;=\;& 32\sqrt{3}Q\lambda F_3'[\rho]+\frac{96\lambda\left(\rho^2+Q^2\left(-5+\rho^2\right)\right)F_8[\rho]}{\rho^5}-\frac{24\lambda\left(2\rho^2+Q^2\left(-7+2\rho^2\right)\right)F_8'[\rho]}{\rho^4}\,, \qquad \text{(B.25)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_+\tilde{r}_1^{(M)} &= \frac{12\lambda\left(-63Q^4\rho+8M^2\rho^2+2MQ^2\left(-8+15\rho^3\right)\right)}{M\rho^9}-\frac{4\sqrt{3}Q(-1+\rho)\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)F_2[\rho]}{\rho^5 f[\rho]}-\frac{3\sqrt{3}Q\rho^3F_2'[\rho]}{2M}+\frac{2\left(1-2\rho^3\right)F_7'[\rho]}{\rho^2} \\ &+\frac{48\left(15Q^4\lambda+4M^2\lambda\rho^4+Q^2\left(-16M\lambda\rho^2+\kappa\rho^6\right)\right)F_5[\rho]}{\rho^{11}}-\frac{\left(9Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)F_7[\rho]}{M\rho^2}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_+^2\tilde{r}_2^{(M)} &= -\frac{4\sqrt{3}Q(-1+\rho)\left(1+\rho+\rho^2\right)F_4[\rho]}{\rho^5 f[\rho]}+\frac{16\sqrt{3}Q\kappa F_5[\rho]}{\rho^3}-\frac{3\sqrt{3}Q\rho^3F_4'[\rho]}{2M}+\frac{2\left(1-2\rho^3\right)F_9'[\rho]}{\rho^2} \\ &\frac{36\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(-5Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)-\left(9Q^2\rho^4+2M\rho^6\right)F_9[\rho]}{M\rho^6}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_+^2\tilde{r}_3^{(M)} &= -\frac{9Q^2F_2'[1]}{4M^2\rho^2}-\frac{9\sqrt{3}Q^3F_7[1]}{2M^2\rho^2}+\frac{3\sqrt{3}F_4'[1]Q}{2M\rho^2}+\frac{9Q^2F_9[1]}{M\rho^2}+\left(1+\frac{9Q^2}{2M\rho^2}\right)F_2[\rho]-\frac{2\sqrt{3}QF_4[\rho]}{\rho^2}+\frac{9\sqrt{3}Q^3F_7[\rho]}{4M^2\rho^2} \\ &\frac{9\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(10MQ^2\left(Q^2-2\rho^6\right)+\rho^4\left(4+12Q^2+33Q^4+4Q^6-2\left(4-12Q^2+5Q^4\right)\rho^6\right)\right)}{M^2\rho^{12}} \\ &\frac{9\sqrt{3}Q\lambda M^2\left(-14Q^2\rho^2+4\rho^8\right)}{M^2\rho^{12}}-\frac{9Q^2F_9[\rho]}{M\rho^2}+\frac{\rho\left(8M^2+9Q^2\rho^2\right)F_2'[\rho]}{8M^2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}Q\left(-3Q^2+7M\rho^2+3\rho^6\right)F_4'[\rho]}{4M\rho^3} \\ &\frac{3\sqrt{3}Q\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)F_7'[\rho]}{4M\rho^5}-\frac{9Q^2f[\rho]F_9'[\rho]}{4M\rho}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_+^4\tilde{r}_4^{(M)} &= -\frac{18\pi^2Q^2T^3\lambda\left(-21Q^2+10M\rho^2\right)}{r_+M^3(1+M)\rho^8}+\frac{\pi^2T^3\left(9Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)F_7[\rho]}{2r_+M^3(1+M)\rho^2}+\frac{16\pi Q^2T^2\kappa F_8[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^3}+\frac{32\pi QT^2\kappa\partial_QF_8[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^3} \\ &+\frac{24\pi QT^2\lambda\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)F_1'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^4}+\frac{3\sqrt{3}\pi^2QT^3\rho^3F_2'[\rho]}{4r_+M^3(1+M)}-\frac{32\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda\left(-3+\rho^2+Q^2\left(-1+\rho^2\right)\right)F_3'[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^4} \\ &+\frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda\left(-5Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\partial_QF_3'[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^4}+\frac{8\pi T^2\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\left(6Q^2\lambda+\kappa\rho^6\right)F_6'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}M(1+M)\rho^8}+\frac{2\pi^2T^3\rho F_7'[\rho]}{r_+M^2(1+M)} \\ &+\frac{8\pi T^2\left(6Q^2\left(4+3Q^2\right)\lambda-12MQ^2\lambda\rho^2+\left(2+Q^2\right)\kappa\rho^6\right)F_8'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^8}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_+^2\tilde{r}_5^{(M)} &= -\frac{9Q^2F_2'[1]}{8M^2\rho^2}-\frac{9\sqrt{3}Q^3F_7[1]}{4M^2\rho^2}+\frac{3\sqrt{3}QF_4'[1]}{4M\rho^2}+\frac{9Q^2F_9[1]}{2M\rho^2}-\frac{9\sqrt{3}Q\left(4-12Q^2+5Q^4\right)\lambda}{M^2\rho^2} \\ &-\frac{\sqrt{3}QF_7[\rho]}{2M}-\frac{16\sqrt{3}Q\kappa F_8[\rho]}{\rho^3}+F_9[\rho]-\frac{24\lambda\left(-5Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)F_3'[\rho]}{\rho^4}-\frac{\sqrt{3}Q\rho F_7'[\rho]}{M}+2\rho F_9'[\rho]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.30}$$

B.2 Second Order Tensorial Sources

In the tensor sector the sources are also splitted in terms of the anomalous and non anomalous, the tildes refer to the anomalous sector

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu\nu}=\sum_{a=1}^{12}P_a\mathcal{T}^{(a)}_{\mu\nu}+\sum_{a=1}^{8}\tilde{P}_a\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{(a)}_{\mu\nu}\,. \tag{B.31}$$

B.2.1 Non-anomalous tensorial sources

$$P_1 = 2\rho - 3\rho^2 F_5[\rho] - 2\rho^3 F_5'[\rho]\,, \tag{B.32}$$

$$P_2 = 4\rho - 6\rho^2 F_5[\rho] + \frac{\left(Q^2 - M\rho^2 + \rho^6\right) F_5'[\rho]^2}{\rho}\,, \tag{B.33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_3 = {} & \frac{768\lambda Q^4 \left(\rho^2 \left(-5M\lambda - 3(\kappa - 29\lambda)\rho^4\right) + 2Q^2\lambda \left(9 + 4\rho^6\right)\right)}{\rho^{11}\left(Q^2 - M\rho^2 + \rho^6\right)} + 3\rho^2 F_5[\rho] + 2\rho^3 F_5'[\rho] + \frac{32\sqrt{3}Q\lambda \left(Q^2 - 2\rho^6\right) F_2'[\rho]}{Q^2 - M\rho^2 + \rho^6} \\ & + \frac{2Q^2 \left(9216\lambda^2(\rho^2 - M^2) + 768M(\kappa - 10\lambda)\lambda\rho^4 + \rho^8\right) + \rho^2 \left(3072\lambda^2 - M\rho^8 + \rho^{12}\right)}{\rho^7\left(Q^2 - M\rho^2 + \rho^6\right)} + \frac{32\lambda \left(-3Q^2 + 2M\rho^2\right)\left(Q^2 - 2\rho^6\right) F_7'[\rho]}{\rho^4\left(Q^2 - M\rho^2 + \rho^6\right)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.34}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_4 = {} & \lambda^2 \left(Q^4 \left(\frac{644352M}{\rho^{15}} - \frac{396288}{\rho^{11}}\right) + Q^6 \left(-\frac{549504}{\rho^{17}} - \frac{3072}{\rho^{11}}\right) - \frac{3072}{\rho^{11}}\right) + \frac{2Q^2}{\rho^5} + \frac{M}{\rho^3} + \rho\lambda^2 Q^2 \left(-\frac{130560M^2}{\rho^{13}} - \frac{9216}{\rho^{11}} + \frac{107520M}{\rho^9}\right) \\ & + f[\rho]\left(192\sqrt{3}Q\lambda F_2'[\rho] - \rho^3 F_7'[\rho]^2\right) + \frac{16\lambda \left(27Q^2 + 4M\rho^2\right) f[\rho] F_7'[\rho]}{\rho^4} - \rho^5 F_2'[\rho]^2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.35}$$

$$r_+^2 P_5 = -\frac{4\pi T^2}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)} \left(\rho^3 F_1[\rho]\right)'\,, \tag{B.36}$$

$$P_6 = -\left(1 + \frac{\pi T}{r_+(1+M)}\right) \frac{8\pi Q T^3 \left(\rho^3 F_1[\rho]\right)'}{3r_+^3(1+M)^2} - \frac{3Q\rho^2 F_1[\rho]}{M} - 2\partial_Q \left(\rho^3 F_1[\rho]\right)' - \rho^5 F_1'[\rho]^2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(2 + Q^2\right) F_6'[\rho]}{M\rho} - \rho^3 f[\rho] F_6'[\rho]^2\,, \tag{B.37}$$

$$r_+ P_7 = -2\left(\rho^3 F_3[\rho]\right)'\,, \tag{B.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_+^3 P_8 = {} & \frac{6\pi Q T^2 \rho^2 F_1[\rho]}{r_+^2 M(1+M)} - \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi Q T^2 \rho^2 F_3[\rho]}{M(1+M)} - \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi T^2 \rho^2 \partial_Q F_3[\rho]}{(1+M)} - \frac{2\pi Q T^2 \rho^3 F_3'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}M(1+M)} \\ & - \frac{4\pi T^2 \rho^5 F_1'[\rho] F_3'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)} - \frac{4\pi T^2 \rho^3 \partial_Q F_3'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)} - \frac{4\pi T^2 \rho^3 f[\rho] F_6'[\rho] F_8'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)} + \frac{2\pi\left(2 + Q^2\right) T^2 F_8'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.39}$$

$$r_+^2 P_9 = \frac{6\sqrt{3}Q\rho^2 F_3[\rho]}{M} + \frac{\sqrt{3}Q\rho^3 F_3'[\rho]}{M} - \rho^5 F_3'[\rho]^2 + \frac{\left(3Q^2 - 2M\rho^2\right) F_8'[\rho]}{M\rho} - \rho^3 f[\rho] F_8'[\rho]^2\,, \tag{B.40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_+^2 P_{10} = {} & \frac{\lambda^2 \left(-57600Q^4 + 59904MQ^2\rho^2 - 8448M^2\rho^4 - 34560Q^2\rho^6 + 5376M\rho^8\right)}{\rho^{13}} + \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{768Q^2\kappa\lambda}{\rho^7} + 48\lambda\rho^2 f[\rho] F_4'[\rho] - \rho^5 F_4'[\rho]^2 \\ & + \frac{112\sqrt{3}Q\lambda f[\rho] F_9'[\rho]}{\rho^2} - \rho^3 f[\rho] F_9'[\rho]^2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.41}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_+ P_{11} = {} & -\frac{256\sqrt{3}Q\lambda \left(30Q^4\lambda - 36MQ^2\lambda\rho^2 + 6M^2\lambda\rho^4 - 3Q^2(\kappa - 10\lambda)\rho^6 + 2M(\kappa - 3\lambda)\rho^8\right)}{\rho^{15} f[\rho]} - \frac{32\sqrt{3}Q\lambda \left(Q^2 - 2\rho^6\right) F_4'[\rho]}{\rho^6 f[\rho]} \\ & - \frac{32\lambda \left(-3Q^2 + 2M\rho^2\right)\left(Q^2 - 2\rho^6\right) F_9'[\rho]}{\mathrm{b0}\rho^{10} f[\rho]}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_+ P_{12} = {} & -\frac{2\sqrt{3}Q\lambda^2 \left(112704Q^4 - 127296MQ^2\rho^2 + 24320M^2\rho^4 + 71232Q^2\rho^6 - 16128M\rho^8\right)}{\rho^{15}} - \frac{2\sqrt{3}Q\lambda \left(576Q^2\kappa\rho^6 + 128M\kappa\rho^8\right)}{\rho^{15}} \\ & + \frac{2\sqrt{3}Q}{\rho^3} + 48\lambda\rho^2 f[\rho] F_2'[\rho] + \frac{2\left(96\sqrt{3}Q\lambda \left(Q^2 - M\rho^2 + \rho^6\right) - \rho^{11} F_2'[\rho]\right) F_4'[\rho]}{\rho^6} + \frac{112\sqrt{3}Q\lambda f[\rho] F_7'[\rho]}{\rho^2} \\ & + \frac{16\lambda \left(27Q^2 + 4M\rho^2\right) f[\rho] F_9'[\rho]}{\rho^4} - 2\rho^3 f[\rho] F_7'[\rho] F_9'[\rho]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{B.43}$$

B.2.2 Anomalous tensorial sources

$$\tilde{P}_1 = \frac{64\sqrt{3}Q\lambda}{\rho^5} - 2\left(\rho^3 F_2[\rho]\right)' \,, \tag{B.44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_+^2\tilde{P}_2 = {} & \frac{32\pi T^2\lambda\left(-\pi T\left(51Q^2+4M\rho^2\right)+12r_+MQ\left(F_1'[1]+2\sqrt{3}F_6[1]Q\right)\rho^3 f[\rho]\right)}{r_+M(1+M)\rho^8} - \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\rho^2F_2[\rho]}{M\,(1+M)} - \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\rho^2\partial_Q F_2[\rho]}{(1+M)} \\
& - \frac{768\sqrt{3}\pi Q^2T^2\lambda f[\rho]F_6[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^5} - \frac{2\pi T^2\left(2F_1'[1]M+4\sqrt{3}F_6[1]MQ+5Q\rho^3\right)F_2'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}M\,(1+M)} + \frac{8\pi QT^2F_6[\rho]F_2'[\rho]}{(1+M)} \\
& - \frac{4\pi T^2\rho^3\partial_Q F_2'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)} + \frac{32\pi T^2\lambda\left(27Q^2+4M\rho^2\right)f[\rho]F_6'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)\rho^4} + \frac{4\pi^2T^3F_7'[\rho]}{r_+M\,(1+M)\,\rho} - \frac{4\pi T^2\rho^3 f[\rho]F_6'[\rho]F_7'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)} - \frac{2\pi QT^2\rho^4F_2''[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}M\,(1+M)} \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.45}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_+^2\tilde{P}_3 = {} & \frac{16\pi Q^6T^2\lambda\left(-1+\rho^2\left(3-5\rho+7\rho^3-4\rho^5\right)\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^{17}f[\rho]^2} + \frac{64\pi T^2\lambda\left(2+\rho^4\left(-3+\rho^3\left(-5+5\rho+\rho^4\right)\right)\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^{13}f[\rho]^2} \\
& + \frac{16\pi Q^4T^2\lambda\left(-6+\rho^2\left(13+\rho\left(-6+\rho\left(8+\rho-33\rho^2-8\rho^3+14\rho^4+39\rho^5-22\rho^7\right)\right)\right)\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^{17}f[\rho]^2} \\
& + \frac{32\pi Q^2T^2\lambda\left(5+\rho^2\left(8+\rho\left(-3+\rho\left(-23+\rho\left(-2+\rho+29\rho^2-21\rho^4+5\rho^5+\rho^8\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^{15}f[\rho]^2} \\
& + \frac{128\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda Q^2\left(Q^2-2\rho^6\right)F_6[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^{11}f[\rho]} + \frac{32\pi QT^2\lambda\left(-5Q^2+3M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\partial_Q F_5'[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^6} \\
& + \frac{8\pi T^2\lambda\left(4\rho^6+3Q^4\left(-5+4\rho^2\right)+2Q^2\left(-5+6\rho^2+\rho^6\right)\right)F_5'[\rho]}{M(1+M)\rho^6} + \frac{64\pi T^2\lambda\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)\left(Q^2-2\rho^6\right)F_6'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)\rho^{10}f[\rho]} \\
& - \frac{64F_1'[1]\pi T^2\lambda Q\left(Q^2-2\rho^6\right)}{(1+M)\rho^{11}f[\rho]} - \frac{128\sqrt{3}F_6[1]\pi T^2\lambda Q^2\left(Q^2-2\rho^6\right)}{(1+M)\rho^{11}f[\rho]} + \frac{32\pi QT^2\lambda\rho f[\rho]\partial_Q F_5''[\rho]}{(1+M)} \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.46}$$

$$r_+\tilde{P}_4 = -2\left(\rho^3F_4[\rho]\right)' \,, \tag{B.47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_+^3\tilde{P}_5 = {} & \frac{16\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\lambda\left(-18-11Q^2+4M\rho^2\right)}{M(1+M)\rho^6} - \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi QT^2\rho^2F_4[\rho]}{M\,(1+M)} - \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\rho^2\partial_Q F_4[\rho]}{(1+M)} + \frac{32\sqrt{3}\pi T^2\lambda\rho^2 f[\rho]F_1'[\rho]}{(1+M)} - \frac{2\pi QT^2\rho^3F_4'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}M\,(1+M)} \\
& - \frac{4\pi T^2\rho^5F_1'[\rho]F_4'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)} - \frac{4\pi T^2\rho^3\partial_Q F_4'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)} + \frac{224\pi QT^2\lambda f[\rho]F_6'[\rho]}{(1+M)\rho^2} + \frac{2\pi\left(2+Q^2\right)T^2F_9'[\rho]}{M\,(1+M)\,\rho} - \frac{4\pi T^2\rho^3 f[\rho]F_6'[\rho]F_9'[\rho]}{\sqrt{3}(1+M)} \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.48}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_+^2\tilde{P}_6 = {} & \frac{56\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)}{M\rho^6} + \frac{6\sqrt{3}Q\rho^2F_4[\rho]}{M} + 48\lambda\rho^2 f[\rho]F_3'[\rho] + \rho^3\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}Q}{M} - 2\rho^2F_3'[\rho]\right)F_4'[\rho] \\
& + \frac{112\sqrt{3}Q\lambda f[\rho]F_8'[\rho]}{\rho^2} + \frac{\left(3Q^2-2M\rho^2\right)F_9'[\rho]}{M\rho} - 2\rho^3 f[\rho]F_8'[\rho]F_9'[\rho] \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.49}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_+\tilde{P}_7 = {} & -\frac{32\sqrt{3}Q\lambda\left(Q^2-2\rho^6\right)F_3'[\rho]}{\rho^6 f[\rho]} - \frac{12\lambda\left(4\rho^8+Q^4\left(-5+2\rho^2\right)+2Q^2\left(\rho^2-\rho^6+2\rho^8\right)\right)F_5'[\rho]}{M\rho^6} \\
& - \frac{32\lambda\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\left(Q^2-2\rho^6\right)F_8'[\rho]}{\rho^{10}f[\rho]} + \frac{12\lambda\left(-4\rho^8+Q^4\left(-5+4\rho^2\right)+4Q^2\rho^2\left(1+\rho^4-\rho^6\right)\right)F_5''[\rho]}{M\rho^5} \\
& - \frac{4\lambda\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2\right)\left(-18Q^2\rho^2-4M\rho^4+\left(Q^2-M\rho^2+\rho^6\right)^2F_5^{(3)}[\rho]\right)}{M\rho^{10}f[\rho]} \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.50}$$

$$r_+\tilde{P}_8 = \frac{3\sqrt{3}Q\rho^2F_2[\rho]}{M} - 2\left(-96\sqrt{3}Q\lambda f[\rho]+\rho^5F_2'[\rho]\right)F_3'[\rho] - \frac{\left(-8\lambda\left(27Q^2+4M\rho^2\right)+\rho^7F_7'[\rho]\right)\left(-3Q^2+2M\rho^2+2M\rho^4 f[\rho]F_8'[\rho]\right)}{M\rho^8} \,, \tag{B.51}$$

C. Transport coefficients at second order

In this appendix we will write the expressions for transport coefficients up to second order.

C.1 Vector sector

The solutions for the non anomalous coefficients $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{10}$ as written in (7.1)-(7.10), are given in terms of functions $\xi_{i,(0,\kappa^2,\kappa\lambda,\lambda^2)}$ whose expressions are

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{2,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{2\pi T^3}{5GM^3(M+1)Q^4(1+2\rho_2^2)^4 r_+^3}\Bigg(\bigg(2430-14121M+32625M^2-36279M^3+17151M^4\\
&+286M^5-2648M^6+656M^7\bigg)+\frac{10M^3(1+2\rho_2^2)^4}{Q^2}(9+6M-7M^2+2M^3)\log[1-\rho_2^2]\\
&+\frac{10M^2\rho_2^6(2+\rho_2^2)}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}\Big(9(1-\rho_2^2)+3M(5+13\rho_2^2)-6M^2(13-7\rho_2^2)+8M^3(17-14\rho_2^2)\\
&-32M^4(4-\rho_2^2)+48M^5\Big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg),
\end{aligned}\tag{C.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{2,\lambda^2}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{\pi T^3}{210GM^3(M+1)\rho_2^8(1+2\rho_2^2)^4 r_+^3}\Bigg(\frac{1}{M^2+Q^2+\rho_2^2(2M-1)}\Big(-1837080+15089571M\\
&-54047817M^2+109739475M^3-136610865M^4+102222345M^5-35693475M^6\\
&-7547847M^7+12206741M^8-3911944M^9+427856M^{10}\Big)+\frac{1680(1-\rho_2^2)}{\rho_2^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}\Big(81(1+2\rho_2^2)\\
&-M(351+783\rho_2^2)+M^2(540+1674\rho_2^2)+M^3(225-1719\rho_2^2)-M^4(1497-672\rho_2^2)\\
&+M^5(1332-12\rho_2^2)-M^6(340-32\rho_2^2)+32M^7\Big)\log[1-\rho_2^2]-\frac{1680M^2\rho_2^8(2+\rho_2^2)}{(1+\rho_2^2)^5(1+2\rho_2^2)}\\
&\times\Big(81(1+2\rho_2^2)-27M(16+29\rho_2^2)+54M^2(21+31\rho_2^2)-9M^3(216+191\rho_2^2)\\
&+3M^4(723+224\rho_2^2)-12M^5(112+\rho_2^2)+4M^6(93+8\rho_2^2)-32M^7\Big)\log\left[2+\rho_2^2\right]\Bigg),
\end{aligned}\tag{C.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{4,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) \;=\;& -\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{5\pi GM^2Q^3(1+2\rho_2^2)^3}\Bigg((1+2\rho_2^2)\big(405-1944M+3708M^2-3612M^3+1903M^4-507M^5\\
&+57M^6\big)+\frac{5M^3(M^2-3)(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}{Q^2\rho_2^8}\bigg(2+\rho_2^2-5M+M^2(3-2\rho_2^2)\bigg)\log[1-\rho_2^2]\\
&+\frac{5M^3\rho_2^6(2+\rho_2^2)^3}{Q^2}\bigg(3\rho_2^2-3M(1+2\rho_2^2)+2M^2\bigg)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg),
\end{aligned}\tag{C.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{4,\lambda^2}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{1}{280\sqrt{3}\pi GQ^7M^2(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}\Bigg(\big(1224720-8421651M+24887169M^2-41179203M^3\\
&+41512749M^4-25998933M^5+10023123M^6-2364497M^7+330895M^8-21092M^9\big)\\
&-\frac{3360M^4(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}{Q^2}\big(9-60M+105M^2-70M^3+20M^4-2M^5\big)\log[1-\rho_2^2]\\
&+\frac{3360M^4\rho_2^8(2+\rho_2^2)^3(M\rho_2^2-3Q^2)}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}(-3\rho_2^2+3M(1+2\rho_2^2)-2M^2)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg),
\end{aligned}\tag{C.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{5,0}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{3Q^2}{256\pi GM^3(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}\Bigg((5M-3)(11M-15)+\frac{8M^3(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}{3Q^2}\log[1-\rho_2^2]\\
&-\frac{2M^3\rho_2^2(2+\rho_2^2)^2}{3Q^2(Q^2+\rho_2^6)(1+2\rho_2^2)}\big(9\rho_2^2+M(1-16\rho_2^2)\big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg),
\end{aligned}\tag{C.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{6,0}(\rho_2) \;=\;& -\frac{1}{512\pi G(1+Q^2)^3(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}\Bigg(\big(243-513M+189M^2-39M^3+152M^4\big)\\
&+8M^3(1+2\rho_2^2)^2\log[1-\rho_2^2]-\frac{2M^2}{(1+2\rho_2^2)}\big(3\rho_2^2+M(4+\rho_2^2)\big)\big(15(1+\rho_2^2)-16M\big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg),
\end{aligned}\tag{C.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{6,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{1}{10\pi G M^3 Q^2 (1+2\rho_2^2)^2}\Bigg(\big(2430 - 9477M + 13824M^2 - 9576M^3 + 3444M^4 - 591M^5 - 14M^6\big)\\ &+\frac{20M^3(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}{Q^2}(18-27M+12M^2-M^3)\log[1-\rho_2^2] + \frac{10M^2\rho_2^4(2+\rho_2^2)^3}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}\times\\ &\big(3\rho_2^2 - 3M(1+2\rho_2^2)+2M^2\big)\big(3+6\rho_2^2 - M(4+5\rho_2^2)\big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg),\end{aligned}\tag{C.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{6,\lambda^2}(\rho_2) \;=\;& -\frac{1}{280\pi G M^3 Q^6 (1+2\rho_2^2)^2}\Bigg(\Big(612360 - 3485349M + 7477191M^2 - 6516837M^3 - 444309M^4\\ &+5355933M^5 - 4409403M^6 + 1747097M^7 - 359455M^8 + 29492M^9\Big) + \frac{3360M^3(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}{Q^2}\times\\ &\big(81 - 315M + 453M^2 - 303M^3 + 101M^4 - 16M^5 + M^6\big)\log[1-\rho_2^2] + \frac{1680M^2\rho_2^{10}(2+\rho_2^2)^3}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}\times\\ &\Big(3\rho_2^2 - 3M(1+2\rho_2^2) + 2M^2\Big)\Big(9(1+2\rho_2^2) - 12M(1+2\rho_2^2) + 3M^2(1+\rho_2^2) - M^3\Big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg),\end{aligned}\tag{C.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{7,0}(\rho_2) \;=\;& -\frac{1}{256\pi G M^3}\frac{1}{(1+2\rho_2^2)^3}\Bigg((32 + 224Q^2 + 426Q^4 + 443Q^6 + 128Q^8)(1+2\rho_2^2)\\ &+M^2\big(90 - 168M + 82M^2 + 4M(1+2\rho_2^2)^3\big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg),\end{aligned}\tag{C.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{8,\kappa^2}(\rho_2) \;=\;& -\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi Q T^3}{2GM^3(1+M)(1+2\rho_2^2)^5 r_+^3}\Bigg((18 - 69M + 63M^2 - 28M^3)(1+2\rho_2^2)\\ &+2M(3-M)(3-M+4M^2)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg),\end{aligned}\tag{C.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{8,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi T^3}{GM^3(1+M)Q^5(1+2\rho_2^3)^5 r_+^3}\Bigg(Q^2\Big(216 - 1233M + 2691M^2 - 2823M^3 + 1379M^4\\ &-202M^5 - 24M^6\Big)(1+2\rho_2^2) + 2(3-4M)^2M^3(1+2M-M^2)(1+2\rho_2^2)\log[1-\rho_2^2]\\ &-M\Big(216 - 1062M + 2094M^2 - 1950M^3 + 634M^4 + 264M^5 - 244M^6 + 48M^7\\ &-18M^2\rho_2^2 + 12M^3\rho_2^2 + 82M^4\rho_2^2 - 112M^5\rho_2^2 + 32M^6\rho_2^2\Big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg),\end{aligned}\tag{C.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{8,\lambda^2}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{\pi T^3}{35\sqrt{3}GM^3(1+M)Q^7(1+2\rho_2^2)^4 r_+^3}\Bigg(\Big(-102060 + 846855M - 2955555M^2 + 5599602M^3\\ &-5989080M^4 + 3085503M^5 + 60033M^6 - 797012M^7 + 279586M^8 - 32072M^9\Big)\\ &+\frac{420M^2}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}(3-4M)^2(18 - 93M + 207M^2 - 191M^3 + 54M^4 - 6M^5 + M^6)\log[1-\rho_2^2]\\ &+\frac{420M}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}\Big(243 + 162M(-11+\rho_2^2) - 27M^2(-207+47\rho_2^2) - M^3(9243 - 4383\rho_2^2)\\ &-15M^4(-497+545\rho_2^2) - M^5(372 - 8382\rho_2^2) - 2M^6(2243 + 2203\rho_2^2) + 9M^7(404 + 113\rho_2^2)\\ &-M^8(1219 + 120\rho_2^2) + M^9(191 + 16\rho_2^2) - 12M^{10}\Big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg),\end{aligned}\tag{C.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{9,\kappa^2}(\rho_2) = {} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}Q}{4\pi G M^3(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}\Bigg(9(3-4M+M^3)+3(3-4M)M^2\log[1-\rho_2^2] \\ & -\frac{M}{2(1+2\rho_2^2)}\Big(54-9M(10+2\rho_2^2)+24M^2(2+\rho_2^2)+4M^3\Big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg)\,,\end{aligned}\tag{C.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{9,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) = {} & \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{M^3(-3+4M)Q}\Bigg(\big(648-2079M+2475M^2-1365M^3+357M^4-52M^5\big) \\ & +\frac{M(-3+4M)}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)^3}\Big(2(1-\rho_2^2)^3(1+\rho_2^2)\big(-36+129M-129M^2+28M^3 \\ & +\rho_2^2(36-39M-12M^2+8M^3)\big)+2M\big(324-1269M+1935M^2-1443M^3 \\ & +537M^4-92M^5+8M^6+\rho_2^2M(-3+4M)(-18+9M+M^3)\big)\Big)\log[1-\rho_2^2] \\ & +\frac{2M}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)^3)}\Big(-972+5103M-10881M^2+12069M^3-7383M^4+2424M^5 \\ & -392M^6+32M^7+\rho_2^2M(3-4M)^2(-18+9M+M^3)\Big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg)\,,\end{aligned}\tag{C.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{9,\lambda^2}(\rho_2) = {} & \frac{\sqrt{3}\rho_2^2}{140\pi G M^3 Q^7(1+2\rho_2^2)^3}\Bigg((-1+2M+\rho_2^2)\Big(102060-496935M+963855M^2 \\ & -954012M^3+518949M^4-159036M^5+27094M^6-2411M^7+16M^8\Big) \\ & +\frac{420M^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}{\rho_2^2}\Big(243-1269M+2673M^2-2919M^3+1779M^4-610M^5 \\ & +110M^6-8M^7\Big)\log[1-\rho_2^2]+ \\ & +\frac{420M}{\rho_2^2}\Big(243-243M(6-\rho_2^2)+27M^2(134-47\rho_2^2)-9M^3(529-297\rho_2^2) \\ & +M^4(3513-2919\rho_2^2)-3M^5(456-593\rho_2^2)+M^6(181-610\rho_2^2)+10M^7(5+11\rho_2^2) \\ & -4M^8(5+2\rho_2^2)+2M^9\Big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg)\,,\end{aligned}\tag{C.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{10,\kappa^2}(\rho_2) = {} & -\frac{9\rho_2^2}{4\pi G M^2(1+2\rho_2^2)^3}\Bigg((3-4M+3M^2)(-1+2M+\rho_2^2)-\frac{2M^2(1+2\rho_2^2)^3}{3\rho_2^2}\log[1-\rho_2^2] \\ & -\frac{2}{3}M^2\Big(3+6\rho_2^2+M(5+\rho_2^2)\Big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg)\,,\end{aligned}\tag{C.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{10,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) = {} & \frac{3}{2\pi G M^2(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}\Bigg(\big(-108+333M-372M^2+163M^3-16M^4\big) \\ & +6(3-4M)M^2\log[1-\rho_2^2]+\frac{6M^2(6-5M-M^2+(3-4M)\rho_2^2)}{1+2\rho_2^2}\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg)\,,\end{aligned}\tag{C.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{10,\lambda^2}(\rho_2) = {} & \frac{4Q^{10}}{35M^2(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}\Bigg(\Big(51030-308205M+782505M^2-1075848M^3+866631M^4 \\ & -421824M^5+126626M^6-22369M^7+1874M^8\Big)+\frac{420M^3(1-\rho_2^2)^3(1+\rho_2^2)^4}{\rho_2^2(1+2\rho_2^2)} \\ & \times\big(9-3M(7+\rho_2^2)+6M^2(2+\rho_2^2)+M^3\big)\log[1-\rho_2^2]+\frac{420M^3\rho_2^{10}}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}\big(27+54\rho_2^2 \\ & -27M(1+4\rho_2^2)+3M^2(-7+16\rho_2^2)+M^3(6-12\rho_2^2)-M^4(1-\rho_2^2)\big)\log\left[2+\rho_2^2\right]\Bigg)\,,\end{aligned}\tag{C.18}$$

and for the anomalous coefficients $\tilde{\xi}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_5$, (7.11)-(7.15), one has functions $\tilde{\xi}_{i,(\kappa,\lambda)}$ that write

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\xi}_{1,\lambda}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{2\pi T^2}{GM^2Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)^2)r_+^2}\Bigg(\Big(9-24M+14M^2+3M^3\Big)+\frac{2M^3}{Q^2}(1+2\rho_2^2)\log[1-\rho_2^2] \\
&-\frac{M^2\rho_2^2}{(1+\rho_2^2)(1+2\rho_2^2)^2}\big(3\rho_2^2-3M(1+2\rho_2^2)+2M^2\big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg)\,, \qquad \text{(C.19)}\\
\tilde{\xi}_{2,\lambda}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi T^2}{2GM^2Q(1+2\rho_2^2)^2r_+^2}\Bigg(\big(-6+7M+M^2\big)+\frac{2M^2}{Q^2}(1+2\rho_2^2)^2\log[1-\rho_2^2] \\
&-\frac{2M\rho_2^4}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}(M^2-3Q^2\rho_2^2)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg)\,, \qquad \text{(C.20)}\\
\tilde{\xi}_{4,\kappa}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{3\pi Q^2T^3}{8GM^4(M+1)(1+2\rho_2^2)^4r_+^3}\Bigg((5M-3)(15-14M+4M^2) \\
&-\frac{2M^2(M-3)}{3Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}(3-16M+12M^2)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg)\,, \qquad \text{(C.21)}\\
\tilde{\xi}_{4,\lambda}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{T^3}{4GM^4(M+1)Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)^4r_+^3}\Bigg(\Big(1215-6075M+11880M^2-11367M^3+5355M^4 \\
&-1096M^5+100M^6\Big)-\frac{2\pi M^3(M-3)(2M+1)(1+2\rho_2^2)^4}{Q^2}\log[1-\rho_2^2] \qquad \text{(C.22)}\\
&+\frac{2\pi(M-3)M^3\rho_2^4}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}\big(9(1+\rho_2^2)+3M(1+6\rho_2^2)-14M^2(1+2\rho_2^2)+4M^3\big)\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg)\,,\\
\tilde{\xi}_{5,\kappa}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{-3\sqrt{3}\pi Q^3T^2}{4GM^3(1+2\rho_2^2)^2r_+^2}\left(1+\frac{2M^2}{3Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\right)\,, \qquad \text{(C.23)}\\
\tilde{\xi}_{5,\lambda}(\rho_2) \;=\;& \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi T^2}{2GM^3Q(1+2\rho_2^2)^2r_+^2}\Bigg(\big(27-72M+54M^2-7M^3\big) \\
&+\frac{2M^3(4M-3)(Q^2-\rho_2^2)}{Q^2\rho_2^4}\log[1-\rho_2^2]-\frac{2M^3\rho_2^2(M\rho_2^2-3Q^2)}{Q^2(1+2\rho_2^2)}\log\left[\frac{2+\rho_2^2}{1-\rho_2^2}\right]\Bigg)\,. \qquad \text{(C.24)}
\end{aligned}$$

C.2 Tensor sector

In this sector the non anomalous coefficients $\Lambda_1\,,\ldots\,,\Lambda_{12}$ written in (7.16)-(7.27) and the anomalous ones $\tilde{\Lambda}_1\,,\ldots\,,\tilde{\Lambda}_8$ (7.28)-(7.35), are given in terms of functions $\Lambda_{i,(0,\kappa^2,\kappa\lambda,\lambda^2)}$ and $\Lambda_{i,(\kappa,\lambda)}$ respectively. The expressions for these functions are in general very complicated, and we present here the result as an expansion at footnotesize ρ_2 up to order $\mathcal{O}(\rho_2^6)$, which is equivalent to order $\mathcal{O}(\bar{\mu}^6)$. For the non anomalous coefficients we get

$$\Lambda_{4,\lambda^2}(\rho_2) = \frac{64}{15\pi G}\Big((-4+15\log 2) - \frac{1}{16}(557+840\log 2)\rho_2^2 - \frac{3}{112}(2789-9660\log 2)\rho_2^4 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^6)\Big)\,, \tag{C.25}$$

$$\Lambda_{6,0}(\rho_2) = \frac{1}{384\pi^3 G}\Big(2(47-66\log 2)\rho_2^2 - 4(1-3\log 2) - 3(89-101\log 2)\rho_2^4 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^6)\Big)\,, \tag{C.26}$$

$$\Lambda_{8,0}(\rho_2) = -\frac{1}{128\pi^2 G}\Big(4 + 2(1-14\log 2)\rho_2^2 - (137-224\log 2)\rho_2^4 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^6)\Big)\,, \tag{C.27}$$

$$\Lambda_{9,0}(\rho_2) = \frac{1}{768\pi G}\Big(8(11+3\log 2) + 12(7-8\log 2)\rho_2^2 - 3(91-226\log 2)\rho_2^4 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^6)\Big)\,, \tag{C.28}$$

$$\Lambda_{10,\kappa^2}(\rho_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi G}\Big(-12(1-2\log 2)\rho_2^2 + 3(25-36\log 2)\rho_2^4 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^6)\Big)\,, \tag{C.29}$$

$$\Lambda_{10,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi G}\Big(8(5-12\log 2)\rho_2^2 - 3(91-144\log 2)\rho_2^4 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^6)\Big)\,, \tag{C.30}$$

$$\Lambda_{10,\lambda^2}(\rho_2) = \frac{1}{5\pi G}\Big(-90 + 4(29+60\log 2)\rho_2^2 + (617-1080\log 2)\rho_2^4 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^6)\Big)\,, \tag{C.31}$$

$$\Lambda_{12,\kappa\lambda}(\rho_2) = \frac{2}{5\sqrt{3}\pi G}\Big(20(-5+12\log 2)\rho_2 + 5(71-192\log 2)\rho_2^3 - (2713-3930\log 2)\rho_2^5 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^7)\Big)\,, \tag{C.32}$$

$$\Lambda_{12,\lambda^2}(\rho_2) = \frac{2}{35\sqrt{3}\pi G}\Big(-56(29+60\log 2)\rho_2 + 840(1+16\log 2)\rho_2^3 + (37403-51660\log 2)\rho_2^5 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^7)\Big)\,, \tag{C.33}$$

and for the anomalous coefficients

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{2,\lambda}(\rho_2) = \frac{1}{12\pi^2 G}\Big(-24(1-\log 2) + 4(26-45\log 2)\rho_2^2 - (527-822\log 2)\rho_2^4 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^6)\Big)\,, \tag{C.34}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{5,\kappa}(\rho_2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{32\pi^2 G}\Big(-8(1-2\log 2)\rho_2 + 16(5-4\log 2)\rho_2^3 - (389-566\log 2)\rho_2^5 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^7)\Big)\,, \tag{C.35}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{5,\lambda}(\rho_2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{48\pi^2 G}\Big(-24(1+2\log 2)\rho_2 - 8(17-48\log 2)\rho_2^3 + 3(379-550\log 2)\rho_2^5 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^7)\Big)\,, \tag{C.36}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{6,\kappa}(\rho_2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{16\pi G}\Big(-8(\log 2)\rho_2 - 12(2-5\log 2)\rho_2^3 + (174-257\log 2)\rho_2^5 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^7)\Big)\,, \tag{C.37}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{6,\lambda}(\rho_2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{48\pi G}\Big(24(5+2\log 2)\rho_2 - 4(23+90\log 2)\rho_2^3 - (991-1494\log 2)\rho_2^5 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^7)\Big)\,, \tag{C.38}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{8,\lambda}(\rho_2) = \frac{1}{12\pi G}\Big(-24\log 2 + 4(25+42\log 2)\rho_2^2 + (199-750\log 2)\rho_2^4 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_2^6)\Big)\,. \tag{C.39}$$

Note that in some cases the order $\mathcal{O}(\rho_2^6)$ vanishes, so that the corresponding expressions are valid up to $\mathcal{O}(\rho_2^7)$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank K. Landsteiner and L. Melgar for enlightening discussions and collaboration on related topics. We are also grateful to A. Buchel, S. Dutta, J. Erdmenger, D. Grumiller, M. Kaminski, A. Karch, D. Kharzeev, R. Loganayagam, D. Mateos, S. Minwalla, D.T. Son, P. Surowka, A. Yarom and H. Yee for discussions. This work has been supported by Plan Nacional de Altas Energías (FPA2009-07908, FPA2008-01430 and FPA2011-25948), Spanish MICINN Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Program CPAN (CSD2007-00042), Comunidad de Madrid HEP-HACOS S2009/ESP-1473. E.M. would like to thank the Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Spain, the Institute for Nuclear Theory at the University of Washington, USA, and the Institut für Theoretische Physik at the Technische Universität Wien, Austria, for their hospitality and partial support during the completion of this work. E.M. thanks also the organizers and all the participants in the INT Program 11-3 "Frontiers in QCD" of the University of Washington, for the stimulating atmosphere and fruitful discussions. F.P. thanks the Max Planck Institute (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), Munich, for their hospitality during his research visit in the first stage of this work, and the Institut de Física d'Altes Energies, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain, for hospitality. F.P. also acknowledges Brookhaven National Laboratory and the organizers of the workshop "P and CP odd effect in hot and dense matter 2012" for financial support, and for the stimulating atmosphere and fruitful discussions. The research of E.M. is supported by the Juan de la Cierva Program of the Spanish MICINN. F.P. has been supported by fellowship CPI Comunidad de Madrid.

References

[1] P. Kovtun, *Lectures on hydrodynamic fluctuations in relativistic theories*, *J.Phys.* **A45** (2012) 473001, [`arXiv:1205.5040`].

[2] G. Policastro, D. Son, and A. Starinets, *The Shear viscosity of strongly coupled N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **87** (2001) 081601, [`hep-th/0104066`].

[3] P. B. Arnold, C. Dogan, and G. D. Moore, *The Bulk Viscosity of High-Temperature QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D74** (2006) 085021, [`hep-ph/0608012`].

[4] D. T. Son and A. R. Zhitnitsky, *Quantum anomalies in dense matter*, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 074018, [`hep-ph/0405216`].

[5] M. A. Metlitski and A. R. Zhitnitsky, *Anomalous axion interactions and topological currents in dense matter*, *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 045011, [`hep-ph/0505072`].

[6] G. M. Newman and D. T. Son, *Response of strongly-interacting matter to magnetic field: Some exact results*, *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 045006, [`hep-ph/0510049`].

[7] J. Charbonneau and A. Zhitnitsky, *Topological Currents in Neutron Stars: Kicks, Precession, Toroidal Fields, and Magnetic Helicity*, `arXiv:0903.4450`.

[8] B. Keren-Zur and Y. Oz, *Hydrodynamics and the Detection of the QCD Axial Anomaly in Heavy Ion Collisions*, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 006, [`arXiv:1002.0804`].

[9] D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran, and H. J. Warringa, *The effects of topological charge change in heavy ion collisions: 'Event by event P and CP violation'*, *Nucl. Phys.* **A803** (2008) 227–253, [arXiv:0711.0950].

[10] K. Fukushima, D. E. Kharzeev, and H. J. Warringa, *The Chiral Magnetic Effect*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 074033, [arXiv:0808.3382].

[11] D. Kharzeev, *Parity violation in hot QCD: Why it can happen, and how to look for it*, *Phys. Lett.* **B633** (2006) 260–264, [hep-ph/0406125].

[12] D. Kharzeev and A. Zhitnitsky, *Charge separation induced by P-odd bubbles in QCD matter*, *Nucl. Phys.* **A797** (2007) 67–79, [arXiv:0706.1026].

[13] D. E. Kharzeev and H. J. Warringa, *Chiral Magnetic conductivity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 034028, [arXiv:0907.5007].

[14] A. Y. Alekseev, V. V. Cheianov, and J. Fröhlich, *Universality of transport properties in equilibrium, Goldstone theorem and chiral anomaly*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 3503–3506, [cond-mat/9803346].

[15] **STAR** Collaboration, B. I. Abelev *et. al.*, *Azimuthal Charged-Particle Correlations and Possible Local Strong Parity Violation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 251601, [arXiv:0909.1739].

[16] **STAR** Collaboration, S. A. Voloshin, *Probe for the strong parity violation effects at RHIC with three particle correlations*, arXiv:0806.0029.

[17] **ALICE Collaboration** Collaboration, B. Abelev *et. al.*, *Charge separation relative to the reaction plane in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76\ TeV$*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **110** (2013) 012301, [arXiv:1207.0900].

[18] F. Wang, *Effects of Cluster Particle Correlations on Local Parity Violation Observables*, arXiv:0911.1482.

[19] M. Asakawa, A. Majumder, and B. Muller, *Electric Charge Separation in Strong Transient Magnetic Fields*, *Phys. Rev.* **C81** (2010) 064912, [arXiv:1003.2436].

[20] P. V. Buividovich, M. N. Chernodub, E. V. Luschevskaya, and M. I. Polikarpov, *Numerical evidence of chiral magnetic effect in lattice gauge theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 054503, [arXiv:0907.0494].

[21] P. V. Buividovich *et. al.*, *Magnetic-Field-Induced insulator-conductor transition in SU(2) quenched lattice gauge theory*, arXiv:1003.2180.

[22] H.-U. Yee, *Holographic Chiral Magnetic Conductivity*, *JHEP* **11** (2009) 085, [arXiv:0908.4189].

[23] G. Lifschytz and M. Lippert, *Anomalous conductivity in holographic QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 066005, [arXiv:0904.4772].

[24] A. Rebhan, A. Schmitt, and S. A. Stricker, *Anomalies and the chiral magnetic effect in the Sakai- Sugimoto model*, *JHEP* **01** (2010) 026, [arXiv:0909.4782].

[25] A. Gynther, K. Landsteiner, F. Pena-Benitez, and A. Rebhan, *Holographic Anomalous Conductivities and the Chiral Magnetic Effect*, *JHEP* **02** (2011) 110, [arXiv:1005.2587].

[26] L. Brits and J. Charbonneau, *A Constraint-Based Approach to the Chiral Magnetic Effect*, arXiv:1009.4230.

[27] S. Bhattacharyya, V. E. Hubeny, S. Minwalla, and M. Rangamani, *Nonlinear Fluid Dynamics from Gravity*, *JHEP* **0802** (2008) 045, [arXiv:0712.2456].

[28] S. Bhattacharyya, V. E. Hubeny, R. Loganayagam, G. Mandal, S. Minwalla, T. Morita, M. Rangamani, and H. S. Reall, *Local fluid dynamical entropy from gravity*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2008** (June, 2008) 055–055.

[29] R. Loganayagam, *Entropy Current in Conformal Hydrodynamics*, *arXiv* **0805** (2008) 087.

[30] S. Chapman, Y. Neiman, and Y. Oz, *Fluid/Gravity Correspondence, Local Wald Entropy Current and Gravitational Anomaly*, *JHEP* **1207** (2012) 128, [arXiv:1202.2469].

[31] J. Erdmenger, M. Haack, M. Kaminski, and A. Yarom, *Fluid dynamics of R-charged black holes*, *JHEP* **01** (2009) 055, [arXiv:0809.2488].

[32] N. Banerjee *et. al.*, *Hydrodynamics from charged black branes*, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 094, [arXiv:0809.2596].

[33] Y.-P. Hu and C. Park, *Chern-Simons effect on the dual hydrodynamics in the Maxwell-Gauss-Bonnet gravity*, *Phys.Lett.* **B714** (2012) 324–330, [arXiv:1112.4227].

[34] D. T. Son and P. Surowka, *Hydrodynamics with Triangle Anomalies*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 191601, [arXiv:0906.5044].

[35] Y. Neiman and Y. Oz, *Relativistic Hydrodynamics with General Anomalous Charges*, *JHEP* **03** (2011) 023, [arXiv:1011.5107].

[36] E. Gorbar, V. Miransky, I. Shovkovy, and X. Wang, *Radiative corrections to chiral separation effect in QED*, arXiv:1304.4606.

[37] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Gravitational Anomaly and Transport*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 021601, [arXiv:1103.5006].

[38] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, L. Melgar, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Holographic Gravitational Anomaly and Chiral Vortical Effect*, *JHEP* **1109** (2011) 121, [arXiv:1107.0368].

[39] V. Braguta, M. Chernodub, K. Landsteiner, M. Polikarpov, and M. Ulybyshev, *Numerical evidence of the axial magnetic effect*, arXiv:1303.6266.

[40] S. Golkar and D. T. Son, *Non-Renormalization of the Chiral Vortical Effect Coefficient*, arXiv:1207.5806.

[41] D.-F. Hou, H. Liu, and H.-c. Ren, *A Possible Higher Order Correction to the Vortical Conductivity in a Gauge Field Plasma*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 121703, [arXiv:1210.0969].

[42] X. Bai, Y.-P. Hu, B.-H. Lee, and Y.-L. Zhang, *Holographic Charged Fluid with Anomalous Current at Finite Cutoff Surface in Einstein-Maxwell Gravity*, *JHEP* **1211** (2012) 054, [arXiv:1207.5309].

[43] K. Landsteiner and L. Melgar, *Holographic Flow of Anomalous Transport Coefficients*, *JHEP* **1210** (2012) 131, [arXiv:1206.4440].

[44] K. Jensen, R. Loganayagam, and A. Yarom, *Thermodynamics, gravitational anomalies and cones*, arXiv:1207.5824.

[45] R. Loganayagam and P. Surowka, *Anomaly/Transport in an Ideal Weyl gas*, *JHEP* **1204** (2012) 097, [arXiv:1201.2812].

[46] R. Loganayagam, *Anomaly Induced Transport in Arbitrary Dimensions*, arXiv:1106.0277.

[47] A. V. Sadofyev, V. I. Shevchenko, and V. I. Zakharov, *Notes on chiral hydrodynamics within effective theory approach*, `arXiv:1012.1958`.

[48] S. Lin, *An anomalous hydrodynamics for chiral superfluid*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 045015, [`arXiv:1112.3215`].

[49] S. Dubovsky, L. Hui, and A. Nicolis, *Effective field theory for hydrodynamics: Wess-Zumino term and anomalies in two spacetime dimensions*, `arXiv:1107.0732`.

[50] K. Jensen, *Triangle Anomalies, Thermodynamics, and Hydrodynamics*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 125017, [`arXiv:1203.3599`].

[51] N. Banerjee, J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Jain, S. Minwalla, *et. al.*, *Constraints on Fluid Dynamics from Equilibrium Partition Functions*, *JHEP* **1209** (2012) 046, [`arXiv:1203.3544`].

[52] S. Jain and T. Sharma, *Anomalous charged fluids in 1+1d from equilibrium partition function*, *JHEP* **1301** (2013) 039, [`arXiv:1203.5308`].

[53] M. Valle, *Hydrodynamics in 1+1 dimensions with gravitational anomalies*, *JHEP* **1208** (2012) 113, [`arXiv:1206.1538`].

[54] V. Kirilin, A. Sadofyev, and V. Zakharov, *Chiral Vortical Effect in Superfluid*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 025021, [`arXiv:1203.6312`].

[55] R. Banerjee, *Exact results in two dimensional chiral hydrodynamics with diffeomorphism and conformal anomalies*, `arXiv:1303.5593`.

[56] V. Nair, R. Ray, and S. Roy, *Fluids, Anomalies and the Chiral Magnetic Effect: A Group-Theoretic Formulation*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 025012, [`arXiv:1112.4022`].

[57] W. Israel, *Nonstationary irreversible thermodynamics: A Causal relativistic theory*, *Annals Phys.* **100** (1976) 310–331.

[58] W. Israel and J. Stewart, *Transient relativistic thermodynamics and kinetic theory*, *Annals Phys.* **118** (1979) 341–372.

[59] W. Hiscock and L. Lindblom, *Stability and causality in dissipative relativistic fluids*, *Annals Phys.* **151** (1983) 466–496.

[60] D. E. Kharzeev and H.-U. Yee, *Anomalies and time reversal invariance in relativistic hydrodynamics: the second order and higher dimensional formulations*, `arXiv:1105.6360`.

[61] R. A. Bertlmann, *Anomalies in quantum field theory*, . Oxford, UK: Clarendon (1996) 566 p. (International series of monographs on physics: 91).

[62] M. Haack and A. Yarom, *Nonlinear viscous hydrodynamics in various dimensions using AdS/CFT*, *JHEP* **0810** (2008) 063, [`arXiv:0806.4602`].

[63] S. Bhattacharyya, R. Loganayagam, S. Minwalla, S. Nampuri, S. P. Trivedi, and S. R. Wadia, *Forced Fluid Dynamics from Gravity*, *arXiv.org* **hep-th** (May, 2008).

[64] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Anomalies and Transport Coefficients: The Chiral Gravito-Magnetic Effect*, `arXiv:1110.3615`.

[65] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, L. Melgar, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Gravitational Anomaly and Hydrodynamics*, *J.Phys.Conf.Ser.* **343** (2012) 012073, [`arXiv:1111.2823`].

[66] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Anomalous Transport from Kubo Formulae*, arXiv:1207.5808.

[67] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, L. Melgar, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Gravitational anomaly and hydrodynamics in AdS/CFT*, *Fortsch.Phys.* **60** (2012) 1064–1070.

[68] E. Megias, K. Landsteiner, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Fluid/gravity correspondence and holographic mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly*, *Acta Phys.Polon.Supp.* **6** (2013) 45–51.

[69] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Work in progress*, .

[70] B. Sahoo and H.-U. Yee, *Holographic chiral shear waves from anomaly*, *Phys. Lett.* **B689** (2010) 206–212, [arXiv:0910.5915].

[71] I. Amado, K. Landsteiner, and F. Pena-Benitez, *Anomalous transport coefficients from Kubo formulas in Holography*, *JHEP* **1105** (2011) 081, [arXiv:1102.4577].